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## The Objective of the Base Line Survey

1. To collect the primary data from the operational area of Bosco Reach Out.
2. To assess the level of BRO's involvement in following aspect under Integrated Sustainable Community Development Project (ISDP).
a. Strengthening and formation of people's institution such as Self Help Group, Cluster Level Federation, Farmers Club etc.
b. Improvement of agricultural and livestock practices by farmers.
c. Improvement in community health
d. Village Governance Institution, Micro-Enterprise Development
3. To understand the current scenario of the operational area for future involvement.

## Methodology

The following method has been adopted to conduct the survey:

- Field of Survey: The 3 operational zones, 27 regions, 15 districts, 36 blocks and 22 communities of Assam and Meghalaya are covered. Zone I consist of 3 districts of Assam and 2 districts of Meghalaya. Zone II comprise of 5 districts of Mid Assam. Zone III comprise of 2 hill districts of Assam and 3 districts of Meghalaya.
- Survey Design: The survey is design in semi structure questionnaire which consist mainly objective multiple choice and few descriptive type of questions.
- Selection of Samples: The survey use the simple random selection of SHG villages of Assam and Meghalaya with $70 \%$ respondents of SHG members and $30 \%$ respondents of non SHG members.
- Data Source and Collection: Questionnaire is the source of survey data obtain directly from the respondents. It consist of 199 questions related to demographic details, household details, agriculture, livestock rearing, fishery, skill details, IGA and Micro Enterprise, saving and credit, gender details, migration, disaster risk reduction and community health.
- Pre-Testing: Before the actual data collection, pre-testing of the questionnaire was conducted with ten respondents at two villages of Sonapur region. Few questions were modified and eliminated. No further modification was made after the pre-testing with respect to the respondents respond.
- Actual Data Collection: Orientation to all the field staffs was given before the collection of data.
- Analysis: The collected data are coded and entered in computer to get the required information at the end. The data are generated in tables which give the statistical information.


## A. Demographic Details

| Parameters | Number |
| :--- | :---: |
| State | 2 |
| District | 15 |
| Block | 36 |
| Community | 22 |
| Region | 27 |
| Zone | 3 |
| Zone I Respondent | 1565 |
| Zone II Respondent | 1022 |
| Zone III Respondent | 866 |
| Assam Respondent | 1887 |
| Meghalaya Respondent | 3453 |
| Total Respondent |  |

Zone I comprise of 3 districts of Assam and 2 districts of Meghalaya. Zone II comprise of 5 districts of MidAssam and Zone III comprise of 2 hill districts of Assam and 3 districts of Meghalaya.

## Respondent Age

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 years \& below | 33 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $20-30$ years | 635 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 19.3 |
| $30-40$ years | 1708 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 68.8 |
| $40-50$ years | 783 | 22.7 | 22.7 | 91.5 |
| $50-60$ years | 227 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 98.1 |
| $60-70$ years | 61 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 99.8 |
| Above 70 years | 6 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The Total number of respondents was highest from the age group category of $30-40$ years ( $49.5 \%$ respondents), $40-50$ years ( $22.7 \%$ respondents) and $20-30$ years ( $18.4 \%$ respondents).

Type of Area

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural | 3333 | 96.5 | 96.5 | 96.5 |
| Urban | 120 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The survey was conducted from the Project areas which comprise the rural areas ( $96.5 \%$ respondents) and only $3.5 \%$ of urban area.

Whether SHG Member

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2313 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 |
| No | 1140 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents $67 \%$ respondents belong to SHG members and $33 \%$ respondents are non SHG members. Main emphasis was given to the SHG members.

How long as SHG Member

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 6 months | 34 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 6.1 months to 1 year | 49 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 3.6 |
| $1.1-2$ years | 175 | 5.1 | 7.6 | 11.2 |
| $2.1-5$ years | 869 | 25.2 | 37.6 | 48.7 |
| $5.1-8$ years | 722 | 20.9 | 31.2 | 79.9 |
| 8 years above | 464 | 13.4 | 20.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2313 | 67.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1140 | 33.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $37.6 \%$ respondents were $2-5$ years old in $S H G$ group and $31.2 \%$ respondents were $5-8$ years old in $S H G$ group.

Positive Change after Becoming SHG Member

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2223 | 64.4 | 96.1 | 96.1 |
|  | 90 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| Yes | 2313 | 67.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | No | 1140 | 33.0 |  |
|  | Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |

The $96.1 \%$ respondents experienced positive change having an SHG member in their family while 3.9\% respondents have no positive change. The respond to no change being an SHG member in their family may be due to new SHG membership.

Positive Change after Becoming SHG Member during last 5 Years

| Positive Change | SHG Members |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes |  | No responds |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| Access to Credit/Loan | 1416.00 | 61.22 | 897.00 | 38.78 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Nutrition | 325.00 | 14.05 | 1988.00 | 85.95 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Clothing | 358.00 | 15.48 | 1955.00 | 84.52 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved income | 869.00 | 37.57 | 1444.00 | 62.43 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Conflict Resolution in Family | 341.00 | 14.74 | 1972.00 | 85.26 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Education of the Children | 628.00 | 27.15 | 1685.00 | 72.85 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Confidence | 1088.00 | 47.04 | 1225.00 | 52.96 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Functional Literacy of SHG Member | 440.00 | 19.02 | 1873.00 | 80.98 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Mobility of Women | 611.00 | 26.42 | 1702.00 | 73.58 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Improved Skill | 325.00 | 14.05 | 1988.00 | 85.95 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Created Assets | 140.00 | 6.05 | 2173.00 | 93.95 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |
| Other | 16.00 | .69 | 2297.00 | 99.31 | 2313.00 | 100.00 |

The aspects of improvement among the families with SHG member are $61.22 \%$ improved to access to credit or loan, $47.04 \%$ improved confident, $37.57 \%$ improved income and $27.15 \%$ improved education of the children and $26.42 \%$ improved mobility of women.

Male Adults Participation in Various Social Platforms

| Various Social Platform | No.of Male Adults in Social Platforms <br> $(\%)$ | No.of Respondent <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SHG/CLF | 9.05 | 13.09 |
| CBOs | 3.81 | 5.71 |
| Village Council/Dabar/Committee | 5.98 | 8.80 |
| GP/AP/ZP/VCDC/TLCC/MAC/MDC/MCLA | 2.51 | 3.74 |

The participation of adult males in various social platforms is very low. From the total adult male population of 5383 only $9.05 \%$ of adult males are Members of SHG or CLF and $5.98 \%$ as members of village council or Dabar or Committee. This is because many of them still pursue their studies and others are illiterate poor farmers. AS per the household with adult male members participation in social platform, only $13.09 \%$ respondents out of total 3453 respondents had adult male members enrolled in SHG or CLF and 8.80\% respondents enrolled in village council or dabar or committee.

Female Adults Participation in Various Social Platforms

| Various Social Platform | No.of Female Adults in Social Platforms <br> $(\%)$ | No.of Respondent <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SHG/CLF | 39.68 | 59.17 |
| CBOs | 3.05 | 4.52 |
| Village Council/Dabar/Committee | 1.69 | 2.64 |
| GP/AP/ZP/VCDC/TLCC/MAC/MDC/MCLA | 1.03 | 1.59 |

The adult females in the rural area perform well as members of SHG or CLF. Out of 5550 total adult female population $39.68 \%$ adult female are members of SHG or CLF. With their participation in SHG or CLF in the past years their family income has stabilized, their self confidence increased and could manage their child education. $59.17 \%$ respondent out of 3453 total respondent survey has adult female members enrolled in SHG or CLF.

## B. Household Details

|  | No.of Male | No.of Female | Total Member |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | 9378 | 9755 | 19133 |
| Adult | 5383 | 5550 | 10933 |
| Children | 3350 | 3528 | 6878 |
| Infant | 645 | 677 | 1322 |

## Sex of the Head of the Family

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 3018 | 87.4 | 87.4 | 87.4 |
| Female | 435 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The $87.4 \%$ Respondents have male while $12.6 \%$ Respondents has female as he head of the family.

Male Adult Literacy

| Literacy | No.of Male Adult (\%) | No.of Respondent with Male Adult (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Illiterate | 17.04 | 23.02 |
| Functional Literate | 10.18 | 12.95 |
| PM (Cl.I-IV) | 16.89 | 23.26 |
| ME (Cl.V-VIII) | 14.88 | 20.04 |
| Below HSCL (Cl.IX-X) | 20.94 | 27.60 |
| HSLC Passed | 8.60 | 11.44 |
| HSS Passed | 7.95 | 10.77 |
| Graduate Passed | 2.94 | 3.76 |
| Post Graduate Passed | .59 | .75 |

Out of the 5383 total population of male adults $20.94 \%$ are below HSCL (Class IX-X), $16.89 \%$ are in Class I-IV, $14.88 \%$ in Class V-VIII, $10.18 \%$ are functional illiterate and still $17.04 \%$ are illiterate. Out of 3453 total respondent surveyed $27.60 \%$ respondents has male adults who passed class $I X-X, 23.60 \%$ respondents with male adults who Class I-IV and 23.02 \% respondents with illiterate male adults. The male adults who passed graduate (2.94\%) or post graduate (0.59\%) are very less.

Female Adult Literacy

| Literacy | No.of Female Adult (\%) | No.of Respondent with Female Adult (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Illiterate | 23.75 | 33.16 |
| Functional Literate | 11.64 | 15.99 |
| PM (Cl.I-IV) | 16.25 | 23.69 |
| ME (Cl.V-VIII) | 13.93 | 20.13 |
| Below HSCL (Cl.IX-X) | 19.28 | 26.35 |
| HSLC Passed | 6.27 | 8.69 |
| HSS Passed | 6.54 | 8.86 |
| Graduate Passed | 2.00 | 2.72 |
| Post Graduate Passed | .34 | .49 |

Out of the total female adult population 5550, majority $23.75 \%$ are illiterate, $19.28 \%$ are below HSCL (class IXX), $16.25 \%$ are in class I-IV, $13.93 \%$ are in class V-VIII and $11.64 \%$ are functional illiterate. The respondent with female adult illiterate (33.16\%) is high. Respondent having female adults below HSCL (class IX-X) is $26.35 \%$, Class I-IV is $23.69 \%$ and Class V-VIII is $20.13 \%$.

Male Child Literacy

| Literacy | No.of Male Child (\%) | No.of Respondent with Male Child <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Illiterate | 8.96 | 7.50 |
| Functional Literate | 8.09 | 6.43 |
| PM (Cl.I-IV) | 43.40 | 32.81 |
| ME (Cl.V-VIII) | 23.88 | 19.92 |
| Below HSCL (Cl.IX-X) | 12.12 | 9.96 |
| HSLC Passed | 1.94 | 1.74 |
| HSS Passed | 1.61 | 1.39 |

The $82.96 \%$ of male child out of 3350 total male child population are literate. $43.40 \%$ male children are in Class I-IV, $23.88 \%$ in class V-VIII and $12.12 \%$ in class IX-X. There are $8.96 \%$ of male child populations illiterate. The number of respondents sending their male child to class I-IV is $32.81 \%$, class V-VIII is $19.92 \%$ and class IX-X is $9.96 \%$.

Female Child Literacy

| Literacy | No.of Female Child (\%) | No.of Respondent with Female Child (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Illiterate | 11.00 | 9.59 |
| Functional Literate | 7.48 | 6.08 |
| PM (Cl.I-IV) | 41.67 | 32.70 |
| ME (Cl.V-VIII) | 23.92 | 20.74 |
| Below HSCL (Cl.IX-X) | 12.19 | 10.72 |
| HSLC Passed | 1.87 | 1.82 |
| HSS Passed | 1.87 | 1.56 |

The $81.52 \%$ of female child out of 3528 total female child population are literate. $41.67 \%$ female children are in Class I-IV, $23.92 \%$ in class V-VIII and $12.19 \%$ in class IX-X. Still $11.00 \%$ of female child populations are illiterate. The number of respondents sending their female child to class I-IV is $32.70 \%$, class V-VIII is $20.71 \%$ and class IX-X is $10.72 \%$.

Type of Family

|  |  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Nuclear | 2917 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 84.5 |
|  | Joint | 536 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 respondents surveyed $84.5 \%$ belong to nuclear family and $15.5 \%$ are joint family.

## Breadwinner of the Family

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male | 1786 | 51.7 | 51.7 | 51.7 |
| Female | 313 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 60.8 |
| Both | 1352 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 99.9 |
| DK/NA | 2 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The breadwinner of the family is mainly by male ( $51.7 \%$ respondents) and both ( $39.2 \%$ respondents). Very few $9.1 \%$ respondents have female alone as the breadwinner of the family. The enrolment of female into SHG members has increased their capacity and income generation which contribute to the family management.

Occupation of the Family

| Occupation | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male Farmer | 54.24 |
| Female Farmer | 30.06 |
| Male Government Service | 9.99 |
| Female Government Service | 3.74 |
| Male Private Service | 10.74 |
| Female Private Service | 4.92 |
| Male Daily Wage Labour | 20.62 |
| Female Daily Wage Labour | 13.99 |
| Male Domestic Work | 3.85 |
| Female Domestic Work | 2.69 |
| Male Self employed | 11.21 |
| Female Self Employed | 7.30 |
| Male Professional | .23 |
| Female Professional | .29 |

The occupation of the village folk is mainly cultivation. In both the cases of respondent having female (30.06\%) and male (54.24\%) farmer is highest. The rural poor who have very less or no land to cultivate goes for daily wage labour. $20.62 \%$ respondents with male and $13.99 \%$ respondents with female going for daily wage labour. Active participation into SHG activity has helped many families to involve in self employment. $11.21 \%$ of respondents has male engaged in self employment.

## C. Agriculture

Own Agricultural Land (paddy field)

| Own Agricultural Land | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2286 | 66.2 | 66.2 | 66.2 |
| No | 1167 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Agriculture is the main occupation and livelihood for the rural people of North East India. The $66.2 \%$ of the respondent survey owned agricultural land (paddy field) and $33.8 \%$ do not. The people even if they do not own agricultural land they take shared cropping.

Classification of Land Own

| Classification of Land | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Agriculture | 76.17 |
| Horticulture | 13.03 |
| Social Forestry | 5.74 |
| Pisciculture | .61 |
| Livestock | 3.29 |
| Pasture Land | .32 |
| Sericulture | .36 |
| DK / NA | .47 |

There is variety of land owned by the respondents where from the family income is generated. The $76.17 \%$ respondents owned agriculture, $13.03 \%$ respondents owned horticulture land and $5.74 \%$ respondents owned social forestry.

Cultivable Land ( 1 acre $=3$ bighas)

| Cultivable Land | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No land | 162 | 4.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| Less than 1 acre | 379 | 11.0 | 15.9 | 22.6 |
| $1.1-2$ acres | 732 | 21.2 | 30.6 | 53.3 |
| 2.1-3 acres | 333 | 9.6 | 13.9 | 67.2 |
| 3.1-4 acres | 439 | 12.7 | 18.4 | 85.6 |
| 4.1-5 acres | 115 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 90.4 |
| 5.1-6 acres | 90 | 2.6 | 3.8 | 94.1 |
| 6.1-7 acres | 31 | .9 | 1.3 | 95.4 |
| 7.1-8 acres | 30 | .9 | 1.3 | 96.7 |
| 8.1-9 acres | 15 | .4 | .6 | 97.3 |
| 9.1-15 acres | 30 | .9 | 1.3 | 98.6 |
| Above 15 acres | 20 | .6 | .8 | 99.4 |
| NA | 14 | .4 | .6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2390 | 69.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1063 | 30.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $64.1 \%$ respondents have cultivable land while $35.9 \%$ respondents are landless. Highest $21.2 \%$ respondents have cultivable land of 1-2 acres, $12.7 \%$ respondents have 3-4 acres and $11.0 \%$ respondents have less than 1 acre. Very less $6.3 \%$ respondents have cultivable land above 5 acres.

Land Given for Sharecropping (Adhi)

| Land | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not given for adhi | 1783 | 51.6 | 74.2 | 74.2 |
| Less than 1 acre | 114 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 79.0 |
| 1.1-2 acres | 168 | 4.9 | 7.0 | 86.0 |
| 2.1-3 acres | 77 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 89.2 |
| 3.1-4 acres | 65 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 91.9 |
| 4.1-5 acres | 18 | .5 | .7 | 92.6 |
| More than 5 acres | 17 | .5 | .7 | 93.3 |
| DK / NA | 160 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2402 | 69.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1051 | 30.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The main occupation at village is cultivation. The agricultural land owner cultivates their own land. Only $13.3 \%$ respondents of the total 3453 respondents have given their land for sharecropping.

Land under Mortgaged at Present

| Land | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not mortgage at all | 1818 | 52.6 | 75.2 | 75.2 |
| Less than 1 acre | 149 | 4.3 | 6.2 | 81.4 |
| $1.1-2$ acres | 144 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 87.4 |
| $2.1-3$ acres | 45 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 89.2 |
| $3.1-4$ acres | 18 | .5 | 9 | 90.0 |
| 4.1-5 acres | 9 | .3 | 90.4 |  |
| More than 5 acres | 7 | .2 | 90.6 |  |
| DK NA | 226 | 6.5 | .3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2416 | 70.0 | 9.4 |  |
| No Respond | 1037 | 30.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The farmers at village are mainly poor having very less or no surplus money to invest. Very few farmers have mortgage land. Only $10.8 \%$ respondents of the total 3453 respondent survey have mortgage land at the time of survey.

Source of Irrigation for Agriculture

| Source | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perennial stream water | 848 | 24.6 | 37.8 | 37.8 |
| River | 595 | 17.2 | 26.5 | 64.4 |
| Water pump | 81 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 68.0 |
| Canal irrigation | 209 | 6.1 | 9.3 | 77.3 |
| Others | 137 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 83.4 |
| Rain water | 320 | 9.3 | 14.3 | 97.7 |
| water pump \& rain water | 28 | .8 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Perennial stream water \& river | 24 | .7 | 100.9 |  |
| Total | 2242 | 64.9 |  |  |
| No respond | 1211 | 35.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The farmers depend mainly on rain for their cultivation. Few farmers have excess to canal and water pump as many poor farmers cannot bear the cost of the construction. Out of the total agriculturist, $37.8 \%$ respondents use perennial stream water, $26.5 \%$ respondents use river and $14.3 \%$ respondents use rain water as their source of irrigation.

Agricultural Land Irrigated

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 acre | 542 | 15.7 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| $1.1-2$ acres | 375 | 10.9 | 17.1 | 41.8 |
| 2.1-3 acres | 437 | 12.7 | 19.9 | 61.7 |
| $3.1-4$ acres | 151 | 4.4 | 6.9 | 68.6 |
| 4.1-5 acres | 57 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 71.2 |
| Above 5 acres | 35 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 72.8 |
| DK / NA | 596 | 17.3 | 27.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2193 | 63.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No respond | 1260 | 36.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The numbers of respondents with their agricultural land under irrigation are 24.7\% (less the 1 acre), 19.9\% (2-3 acres) and 17.1 \% (1-2 acres).

Land under Cultivation for Second and Third Crops

|  | Average area (acre) | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Land under Cultivation for Second and Third Crops (Acre) | 1.36 | 25.5 |

Farmers do not cultivate two or more crops as many of them depend on rain for their irrigation. Only $25.5 \%$ of respondent has their land in average of 1.36 acres for second and third crops. $74.5 \%$ respondents has no land for cultivation or do not go for second and third crops cultivation.

Cultivation of Cash / Field Crops

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 441 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| No | 3012 | 87.2 | 87.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

$12.8 \%$ respondents out of the total respondents (3453) cultivate cash or field crop and majority $87.2 \%$ respondents do not cultivate or have no land for cultivation.

The following tables are of various cash or field crops grown by the respondent. Very less respondent take up cultivation of cash crops and their respond to the production, income, expenditure and surplus. Cash crop cultivation is practice only in some part of Assam and Meghalaya so the respondent who practice to total respondent survey is almost negligible.

| Tea Plantation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area under Cultivation (Acre) | 66.50 | 1.30 | 1.48 |
| Annual Production (Kg) | 134340 | 6397 | .61 |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 850800 | 17725 | 1.39 |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 470580 | 13445 | 1.01 |
| Surplus (Rs) | 173520 | 12394 | .41 |
| Rubber Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| Area under Cultivation (Acre) | 4832.43 | 33.33 | 4.20 |
| Annual Production(Kg) | 1050680 | 16164 | 1.88 |
| Average Annual Income(Rs) | 3754900 | 56892 | 1.91 |
| Average Expenditure(Rs) | 2520800 | 24958 | 2.92 |
| Surplus (Rs) | 1675500 | 38080 | 1.27 |
| Broom Stick Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| Area under Cultivation (Acre) | 469.92 | 2.30 | 5.91 |
| Annual Production(Kg) | 165920 | 834 | 5.76 |
| Average Annual Income(Rs) | 4871770 | 23765 | 5.94 |
| Average Expenditure(Rs) | 2099770 | 10447 | 5.82 |
| Surplus (Rs) | 2775910 | 14163 | 5.68 |


| Jute Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area under Cultivation (Acre) | 21.50 | 1.26 | .49 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production(Kg) | 74700 | 7470 | .29 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income(Rs) | 225000 | 14062 | .46 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure(Rs) | 96500 | 6433 | .43 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 120500 | 9269 | .38 |  |  |  |  |
| Bamboo Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Area under Cultivation (Acre) | 101.25 | 33.75 | .09 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production(Kg) | 2000 | 2000 | .03 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income(Rs) | 12500 | 4167 | .09 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure(Rs) | 2000 | 2000 | .03 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 10500 | 5250 | .06 |  |  |  |  |
| Tezpata Cultivation |  |  |  |  | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| No.of Plant | 4584.75 | 101.88 | 1.30 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (Kg) | 31745 | 520 | 1.77 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 365460 | 5374 | 1.97 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 255360 | 3929 | 1.88 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 114100 | 1902 | 1.74 |  |  |  |  |
| Betel leaves Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| \begin{tabular}{l\|c|c|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No.of Plant | 19873 | 95 | 6.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (Kg) | 501804 | 3280 | 4.43 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 1353010 | 6833 | 5.73 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 787240 | 5079 | 4.49 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 571670 | 3573 | 4.63 |  |  |  |  |

Cultivation of Fruit Crops/Trees

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 1453 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 42.1 |
| No | 2000 | 57.9 | 57.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The $42.1 \%$ respondents out of the 3453 total respondents cultivate fruit crops or trees and $57.9 \%$ respondents do not cultivate or have no land for cultivation.

The following tables are of various fruit crops grown by the respondent. Areca nut, coconut and banana cultivation has the higher respondent as compare to others crops grown in two states.

| Banana Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.of Plant | 57249 | 64 | 25.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (bunch) | 243593 | 390 | 18.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 2144586 | 2502 | 24.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 469166 | 892 | 15.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 1673710 | 2599 | 18.65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange Cultivation |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| No.of Plant | 14569 | 109 | 3.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (No.) | 232794 | 3189 | 2.11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 705915 | 7059 | 2.90 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 424930 | 4884 | 2.52 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 426655 | 4961 | 2.49 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Pineapple Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.of Plant | 238692 | 1126 | 6.14 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (No.) | 154427 | 936 | 4.78 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 2003860 | 10172 | 5.71 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 466830 | 3012 | 4.49 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 1519680 | 9210 | 4.78 |  |  |  |  |
| Areca Nut Cultivation | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| No.of Plant | 171290 | 157 | 31.65 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (Kg.) | 2142544 | 2474 | 25.08 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 8337460 | 7814 | 30.90 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 2227745 | 3536 | 18.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 5241344 | 6842 | 22.18 |  |  |  |  |
| Coconut Cultivation |  |  |  |  | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| No.of Plant | 3128 | 7 | 12.57 |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (No.) | 330230 | 999 | 9.59 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 661475 | 1793 | 10.69 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 1019280 | 3789 | 7.79 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 296330 | 1089 | 7.88 |  |  |  |  |
| Others Crops Cultivation |  | Total | Average |  |  |  |  |
| No.of Plant | 13323 | 173 | No.of Respondent (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Production (Kg.) | 791879 | 11819 | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Income (Rs) | 1039840 | 14646 | 1.94 |  |  |  |  |
| Average Expenditure (Rs) | 378127 | 5729 | 2.06 |  |  |  |  |
| Surplus (Rs) | 433060 | 7467 | 1.91 |  |  |  |  |

## Land Taken for Sharecropping (Adhi)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not taken for adhi | 2399 | 69.5 | 69.5 | 69.5 |
| Less than 1 acre | 179 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 74.7 |
| $1.1-2$ acres | 205 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 80.6 |
| $2.1-3$ acres | 78 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 84.9 |
| $3.1-4$ acres | 55 | 1.6 | 1.6 | .5 |
| $4.1-5$ acres | 17 | .5 | 84.9 |  |
| More than 5 acres | 7 | .2 | 85.1 |  |
| DK NA | 513 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The $15.2 \%$ respondents have taken land for sharecropping while $84.8 \%$ respondents have taken land for share cropping or do not cultivate.

No.of Crops Cultivated

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Crop | 1408 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 40.8 |
| Two Crops | 512 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 55.6 |
| Three crops | 226 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 62.1 |
| More than three crops | 174 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 67.2 |
| DK / NA | 800 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 90.4 |
| Do not cultivate | 333 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Practice of growing only one crop at one season is practice in many parts of our states as mostly farmers depend on rain for cultivation. The $40.8 \%$ respondents grow only one crop. The $14.8 \%$ respondents grow two crops and $5.0 \%$ respondents grow more than three crops while $32.8 \%$ respondents do not cultivate or they do not know.

Paddy Yield per Acre

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 8 quintals | 1155 | 33.4 | 33.4 | 33.4 |
| 8.1-12 quintals | 509 | 14.7 | 14.7 | 48.2 |
| 12.1-18 quintals | 221 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 54.6 |
| More than 18 quintals | 152 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 59.0 |
| DK NR / NA | 1416 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The highest number of respondents (33.4\%) could harvest paddy less than 8 quintals per acre. The $14.7 \%$ respondents harvest paddy 8-12 quintals per acre and only $4.4 \%$ respondents harvested more than 18 quintals per acre. $41.0 \%$ respondents do not cultivate or could not estimate their production.

Practice of Jhum Cultivation (slash and burn)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 401 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| No | 3052 | 88.4 | 88.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In all the states of North East India where there is high terrain of land and difficult to have permanent land for cultivation jhum cultivation is practice. The $11.6 \%$ respondents practice jhum cultivation while $8.4 \%$ respondents has permanent paddy field or do not cultivate.

Paddy Yield per Acre from Jhum Cultivation

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 8 quintals | 292 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| 8.1-12 quintals | 47 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 9.8 |
| 12.1-18 quintals | 14 | .4 | .4 | 10.2 |
| More than 18 quintals | 19 | .6 | .6 | 10.8 |
| DK / NR / NA | 3081 | 89.2 | 89.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the $11.6 \%$ respondents practicing Jhum $8.5 \%$ respondents harvest is less than 8 quintals per acre and the rest is harvest of 8 quintals to more than 18 quintals per acre.
Surplus of Food Grain (paddy)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sell it | 539 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 |
| Stock it | 676 | 19.6 | 19.6 | 35.2 |
| Others | 48 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 36.6 |
| DK NA | 2157 | 62.5 | 62.5 | 99.0 |
| Sell or Stock it | 33 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The yield from the paddy field is comparatively low for many respondents and not enough for their year assumption. The $19.6 \%$ respondents prefer to stock their paddy yield while $15.6 \%$ respondents sell it to meet their other family needs.

Need for Supplementary Income other than Agriculture to Meet Food Requirement

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2169 | 62.8 | 62.8 | 62.8 |
| No | 1284 | 37.2 | 37.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Many Respondents (62.8\%) need to supplement their income for meeting food requirement from means other than agriculture. This is due the reason that many respondents have less land to cultivate and yield not enough for their year assumption.

## Source of Supplementary Income

| Source of Supplementary Income | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Livestock Rearing | 35.59 |
| Pisciculture | 2.14 |
| Non Farm IGAs/MEs | 7.91 |
| Betel nut | 9.70 |
| Agriculture / Horticulture | 12.63 |
| Others | 5.30 |
| Daily Wages | 2.52 |
| Private Services | .70 |

To meet the family needs from agriculture product is not enough and many families prefer to supplement their income. $35.59 \%$ families want to rear livestock and $12.63 \%$ families want agriculture cum horticulture to supplement their family income.

Nature of Agriculture Practices

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditional method | 1807 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 52.3 |
| Conventional practices | 230 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 59.0 |
| Improved practices (scientific) | 61 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 60.8 |
| Traditional and Conventional | 183 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 66.1 |
| Traditional and Scientific | 112 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 69.3 |
| Conventional and Scientific | 3 | .1 | .1 | 69.4 |
| DK/NA | 1057 | 30.6 | 30.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The $52.3 \%$ Farmers still practice the traditional method of cultivation, $6.7 \%$ farmers conventional practices and very less farmers follow improved practices or scientific.

Cultivation of Seasonal Crops

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 985 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| No | 2468 | 71.5 | 71.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

$28.5 \%$ respondents cultivate seasonal crops while $71.5 \%$ respondents do not grow as many respondents have no land for cultivation.

## Seasonal Crops Cultivation

| Seasonal Crops | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Area under Mustard Seed Cultivation (Acre) | $\mathbf{1 2 0 9 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8 4}$ | 5.99 |
| Annual Production of Mustard Seed (Kg) | 58425.00 | 307.50 | 5.50 |
| Average Annual Income from Mustard Seed (Rs) | 1089870.00 | 5422.24 | 5.82 |
| Average Expenditure of Mustard Seed (Rs) | 525960.00 | 2656.36 | 5.73 |
| Surplus from Mustard Seed (Rs) | 553070.00 | 3456.69 | 4.63 |
| Area under Potato Cultivation (acre) | $\mathbf{4 5 1 0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8 1}$ | 11.06 |
| Annual Production of Potato (Kg) | 212769.00 | 646.71 | 9.53 |
| Average Annual Income from Potato (Rs) | 2862470.00 | 7907.38 | 10.48 |
| Average Expenditure of Potato (Rs) | 1250637.00 | 3573.25 | 10.14 |
| Surplus from Potato (Rs) | 828323.13 | 2876.12 | 8.34 |
| Area under Chillie Cultivation (acre) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0 1}$ | 7.27 |
| Annual Production of Chillie (Kg) | 85119.50 | 703.47 | 3.50 |
| Average Annual Income from Chillie (Rs) | 1119245.00 | 4389.20 | 7.38 |
| Average Expenditure of Chillie (Rs) | 634895.00 | 3324.06 | 5.53 |
| Surplus from Chillie (Rs) | 337720.00 | 3926.98 | 2.49 |


| Seasonal Crops | Total | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area under Ginger Cultivation (acre) | 404.98 | 1.00 | 11.67 |
| Annual Production of Ginger (Kg) | 556266.00 | 1879.28 | 8.57 |
| Average Annual Income from Ginger (Rs) | 5141690.00 | 12726.96 | 11.70 |
| Average Expenditure of Ginger (Rs) | 2922900.00 | 8596.76 | 9.85 |
| Surplus from Ginger (Rs) | 1824390.00 | 7356.41 | 7.18 |
| Area under Black gram Cultivation (acre) | 149.39 | 2.33 | 1.85 |
| Annual Production of Black gram (Kg) | 31960.00 | 499.38 | 1.85 |
| Average annual income from black gram (Rs) | 247390.00 | 3806.00 | 1.88 |
| Average Expenditure of Black gram (Rs) | 68250.00 | 1066.41 | 1.85 |
| Surplus from Black gram (Rs) | 186801.00 | 3335.73 | 1.62 |
| Area under Brinjal Cultivation (acre) | 708.92 | 7.16 | 2.87 |
| Annual Production of Brinjal (Kg) | 50431.00 | 700.43 | 2.09 |
| Average Annual Income from Brinjal (Rs) | 181210.00 | 1991.32 | 2.64 |
| Average Expenditure of Brinjal (Rs) | 93210.00 | 1150.74 | 2.35 |
| Surplus from Brinjal (Rs) | 91800.00 | 1995.65 | 1.33 |
| Area under Coriander Cultivation (acre) | 14.00 | . 82 | . 49 |
| Annual Production of Coriander Leaves (Kg) | 705.00 | 54.23 | . 38 |
| Average Annual Income from Coriander Leaves (Rs) | 19610.00 | 1225.63 | . 46 |
| Average Expenditure of Coriander Leaves (Rs) | 9720.00 | 607.50 | . 46 |
| Surplus from Coriander Leaves (Rs) | 9890.00 | 824.17 | . 35 |
| Area under Cabbage Cultivation(acre) | 1055.72 | 13.20 | 2.32 |
| Annual Production of Cabbage (Kg) | 13654.00 | 278.65 | 1.42 |
| Average Annual Income from Cabbage (Rs) | 277550.00 | 3558.33 | 2.26 |
| Average Expenditure of Cabbage (Rs) | 143550.00 | 1864.29 | 2.23 |
| Surplus from Cabbage (Rs) | 125800.00 | 2207.02 | 1.65 |
| Area under Cauliflower Cultivation (acre) | 23.53 | . 76 | . 90 |
| Annual Production of Cauliflower (Kg) | 4020.00 | 191.43 | . 61 |
| Average Annual Income from Cauliflower (Rs) | 80840.00 | 2607.74 | . 90 |
| Average Expenditure of Cauliflower (Rs) | 44260.00 | 1427.74 | . 90 |
| Surplus from Cauliflower (Rs) | 37640.00 | 1568.33 | . 70 |
| Area under Carrot Cultivation (acre) | 19.06 | . 68 | . 81 |
| Annual Production of Carrot (Kg) | 1535.00 | 109.64 | . 41 |
| Average Annual Income from Carrot (Rs) | 28550.00 | 1098.08 | . 75 |
| Average Expenditure of Carrot (Rs) | 16200.00 | 675.00 | . 70 |
| Surplus from Carrot (Rs) | 11900.00 | 517.39 | . 67 |
| Area under Tomato Cultivation (acre) | 1664.92 | 18.10 | 2.66 |
| Annual Production of Tomato (Kg) | 16140.00 | 244.55 | 1.91 |
| Average Annual Income from Tomato (Rs) | 231613.00 | 2724.86 | 2.46 |
| Average Expenditure of Tomato (Rs) | 207275.00 | 2623.73 | 2.29 |
| Surplus from Tomato (Rs) | 74038.00 | 1233.97 | 1.74 |
| Area under Green Leafy Veg Cultivation (acre) | 39.24 | . 82 | 1.39 |
| Annual Production of Green Leafy Veg(Kg) | 9046.00 | 251.28 | 1.04 |
| Average Annual Income from Green Leafy Veg (Rs) | 120510.00 | 2678.00 | 1.30 |
| Average Expenditure of Green Leafy Veg (Rs) | 61400.00 | 1395.45 | 1.27 |
| Surplus from Green Leafy Veg (Rs) | 103460.00 | 3135.15 | . 96 |
| Area under Others Cultivation (acre) | 36.34 | 2.42 | . 43 |
| Annual Production of Others (Kg) | 78958.00 | 6073.69 | . 38 |
| Average Annual Income from Others (Rs) | 232390.00 | 14524.37 | . 46 |
| Average Expenditure of Others (Rs) | 118690.00 | 8477.86 | . 41 |
| Surplus from Others (Rs) | 108300.00 | 10830.00 | . 29 |

Cultivation of seasonal crops in this region is mainly for family consumption and produced in small quantity. Only few families cultivate in large quantity for market. The 11.67\% respondents cultivate ginger, 11.06\% respondents cultivate potato and $5.99 \%$ respondents cultivate mustard plant.

Reason affecting the present yield of crops

| Reasons |  | No.of Respondent | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non Availability of Sufficient Water | Yes | 1927 | 72.53 |
|  | No | 587 | 22.09 |
|  | NA | 143 | 5.38 |
|  | Yes | 1638 | 61.56 |
| Low Yielding Seeds | No | 841 | 31.60 |
|  | NA | 182 | 6.84 |
|  | Yes | 1348 | 51.08 |
| Low Dose of Fertilizer Application | No | 910 | 34.48 |
|  | NA | 381 | 14.44 |
|  | Yes | 1357 | 51.52 |
| Weeds Infestation | No | 1029 | 39.07 |
|  | NA | 248 | 9.42 |
|  | Yes | 1973 | 73.24 |
| Widespread of Insects and Diseases | No | 471 | 17.48 |
|  | NA | 250 | 9.28 |
|  | Yes | 767 | 29.23 |
| Wrong use of Fertilizers and Pesticides | No | 1331 | 50.72 |
|  | NA | 526 | 20.05 |
|  | Yes | 1802 | 67.74 |
| Use of Traditional Method of Production | No | 648 | 24.36 |
|  | NA | 210 | 7.89 |
|  | Yes | 1526 | 58.02 |
| Non Availability of Machine/Improved Technology | No | 618 | 23.50 |
|  | NA | 486 | 18.48 |
|  | Yes | 1511 | 58.25 |
| High Price of Agriculture Inputs | No | 747 | 28.80 |
|  | NA | 336 | 12.95 |
|  | Yes | 1248 | 48.28 |
| Infertile Land | No | 1049 | 40.58 |
|  | NA | 288 | 11.14 |
|  | Yes | 55 | 41.04 |
| Others | No | 46 | 34.33 |
|  | NA | 33 | 24.63 |

Low crops yield could be due to many reasons. Major cause of low yield as from respondent respond is widespread of insects and diseases ( $73.2 \%$ respondents), non availability of sufficient water (72.5\% respondents), use of traditional method of cultivation (67.7\% respondents) and use of low yielding seeds (61.6\% respondents).

Access to Agriculture Extension Services

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Respond | 592 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 17.1 |
| Yes | 570 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 33.7 |
| No | 2291 | 66.3 | 66.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Only $16.5 \%$ respondents have access to agriculture extension services while 66.3 respondents do have access and $17.1 \%$ respondents have no responds.

## D. Livestock Rearing

Livestock Rearing

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2869 | 83.1 | 83.1 | 83.1 |
| No | 584 | 16.9 | 16.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Rearing livestock at village is very common. Livestock rearing helps them in agricultural practices like ploughing, weeding, harvesting etc. $83.1 \%$ respondents rear livestock for domestic use or for income generation activity.

Category of Livestock

| Category of Livestock |  | No.of Respondent | (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.of Cow owned by the Family | 1-3 animals | 1045 | 49.79 |
|  | 4-8 animals | 861 | 41.02 |
|  | 9-12 animals | 114 | 5.43 |
|  | 13-25 animals | 67 | 3.19 |
|  | 26-50 animals | 10 | . 48 |
|  | 51-100 animals | 2 | . 10 |
|  | 101-500 animals | 0 | . 00 |
|  | More than 500 | 0 | . 00 |
| No.of Buffalo owned by the Family | 1-3 animals | 64 | 68.09 |
|  | 4-8 animals | 14 | 14.89 |
|  | 9-12 animals | 3 | 3.19 |
|  | 13-25 animals | 7 | 7.45 |
|  | 26-50 animals | 3 | 3.19 |
|  | 51-100 animals | 2 | 2.13 |
|  | 101-500 animals | 1 | 1.06 |
|  | More than 500 | 0 | . 00 |
| No.of Fowl owned by the Family | 1-3 animals | 408 | 16.33 |
|  | 4-8 animals | 881 | 35.25 |
|  | 9-12 animals | 434 | 17.37 |
|  | 13-25 animals | 631 | 25.25 |
|  | 26-50 animals | 121 | 4.84 |
|  | 51-100 animals | 10 | . 40 |
|  | 101-500 animals | 9 | . 36 |
|  | More than 500 | 5 | . 20 |
| No.of Duck owned by the Family | 1-3 animals | 290 | 44.68 |
|  | 4-8 animals | 216 | 33.28 |
|  | 9-12 animals | 92 | 14.18 |
|  | 13-25 animals | 33 | 5.08 |
|  | 26-50 animals | 14 | 2.16 |
|  | 51-100 animals | 3 | . 46 |
|  | 101-500 animals | 0 | . 00 |
|  | More than 500 | 1 | . 15 |
| No.of Pig owned by the Family | 1-3 animals | 1737 | 90.85 |
|  | 4-8 animals | 143 | 7.48 |
|  | 9-12 animals | 20 | 1.05 |
|  | 13-25 animals | 9 | . 47 |
|  | 26-50 animals | 2 | . 10 |
|  | 51-100 animals | 0 | . 00 |
|  | 101-500 animals | 1 | . 05 |
|  | More than 500 | 0 | . 00 |


| Category of Livestock |  | No.of Respondent | $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No.of Goat owned by | $1-3$ animals | 817 | 62.70 |
| the Family | $4-8$ animals | 397 | 30.47 |
|  | $9-12$ animals | 73 | 5.60 |
|  | $13-25$ animals | 13 | 1.00 |
|  | $26-50$ animals | 3 | .23 |
|  | $51-100$ animals | 0 | .00 |
|  | $101-500$ animals | 0 | .00 |
|  | More than 500 | 0 | .00 |
| No.of Rabbit owned | $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ animals | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 50.00 |
| by the Family | $\mathbf{4 - 8}$ animals | $\mathbf{8}$ | 40.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{9 - 1 2}$ animals | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 - 2 5}$ animals | $\mathbf{0}$ | .00 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 6 - 5 0}$ animals | $\mathbf{0}$ | .00 |
|  | $\mathbf{5 1 - 1 0 0}$ animals | $\mathbf{0}$ | .00 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 1 - 5 0 0}$ animals | $\mathbf{0}$ | .00 |
| More than 500 | $\mathbf{0}$ | .00 |  |

Rearing livestock is practice in almost at very village household. The $72.37 \%$ respondents rear fowl, $60.79 \%$ respondents rear cows, $55.37 \%$ respondents rear pigs and $37.74 \%$ respondents rear goats. Very few families rear the livestock for income generation activity. Usually the livestock are rear for domestic help or consumption purpose and rear in very few numbers betweenl to 8 .

Access to Veterinary Services

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 994 | 28.8 | 34.6 | 34.6 |
| No | 1875 | 54.3 | 65.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2869 | 83.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 584 | 16.9 |  |  |

Only $34.6 \%$ respondents who rear livestock have access to veterinary services while $65.4 \%$ respondents have no access to veterinary services or not aware of it.

Source of Veterinary Service

|  | No.of Respondents | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Govt/Line Departments | 542 | 15.7 | 43.5 | 43.5 |
| BRO | 177 | 5.1 | 14.2 | 57.7 |
| Other NGOs | 25 | .7 | 2.0 | 59.7 |
| Both Govt. \& BRO | 224 | 6.5 | 18.0 | 77.6 |
| Both Govt \& Other NGOs | 15 | .4 | 1.2 | 78.8 |
| Both BRO \& Other NGOs | 8 | .2 | .6 | 79.5 |
| All Govt, BRO \& Other NGOs | 8 | .2 | .6 | 80.1 |
| DK/NA | 248 | 7.2 | 19.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1247 | 36.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2206 | 63.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The respondents which have access to veterinary services are mainly from Government or Line Departments (43.5\% respondents), from Government and Bosco Reach Out (18.0\% respondents) and Bosco Reach Out (14.2\% respondents).

Treatment of Sick Livestock

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Veterinary Doctors | 674 | 19.5 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| By Barefoot Technicians | 392 | 11.4 | 14.3 | 39.0 |
| No Treatment | 242 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 47.9 |
| Self | 1180 | 34.2 | 43.2 | 91.0 |
| Others | 95 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 94.5 |
| Both VD \& BFT | 80 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 97.4 |
| Both VD \& Self | 56 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 99.5 |
| Both BFT \& Self | 14 | .4 | .5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2733 | 79.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 720 | 20.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

As very few livestock owners have access to veterinary services and rear livestock in few numbers prefer to treat the livestock themselves at the time of illness. The $43.2 \%$ respondents treat their livestock themselves, $24.7 \%$ respondent take their livestock to veterinary doctor and $14.3 \%$ respondents take the help of barefoot technicians. $8.9 \%$ respondents do not treat their livestock at time of illness.

Livestock Died in the Past One Year

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 1299 | 37.6 | 45.3 | 45.3 |
| No | 1570 | 45.5 | 54.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2869 | 83.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 584 | 16.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $54.7 \%$ respondents report of no livestock death cases while $45.3 \%$ respondents experience death of livestock during the past one year.

Death of Livestock during the Past One Year

| Death of Livestock | Total Death Cases | Average | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.of Cow Died | 704 | 2 | 11.56 |
| No.of Buffalo Died | 50 | 2 | .64 |
| No.of Fowl Died | 15542 | 14 | 31.68 |
| No.of Duck Died | 2052 | 7 | 8.86 |
| No.of Pig Died | 531 | 2 | 8.43 |
| No.of Goat Died | 1233 | 3 | 13.23 |
| No.of Rabbit Died | 21 | 3 | .20 |

Death cases of livestock are in average of 2 to 3 numbers. The highest average number of death cases is of fowl (14 Numbers) reported by $31.68 \%$ of respondents.

## Availability of Livestock Fodder

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Round the year | 1655 | 47.9 | 57.7 | 57.7 |
| Less than 2 months | 113 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 61.6 |
| 3-5 months | 394 | 11.4 | 13.7 | 75.4 |
| 6-8 months | 185 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 81.8 |
| 9-11 months | 152 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 87.1 |
| NK/NA | 370 | 10.7 | 12.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2869 | 83.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 584 | 16.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The fodder for the livestock is available around the year ( $57.7 \%$ respondents) and $3-5$ moths (13.7\% respondents).

## Source of Livestock Feed

|  |  | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Fowls | Own Fodder | 61.86 |
|  | Grains/Conc Feed | 23.46 |
|  | Free Grazing | 18.39 |
|  | Stall Feeding | 3.33 |
| Ducks | Own Fodder | 15.90 |
|  | Grains/Conc Feed | 7.88 |
|  | Free Grazing | 5.04 |
|  | Stall Feeding | 2.40 |
| Pigs | Own Fodder | 25.83 |
|  | Grains/Conc Feed | 39.24 |
|  | Free Grazing | 4.03 |
|  | Stall Feeding | 8.11 |
| Goats | Own Fodder | 8.22 |
|  | Grains/Conc Feed | 1.91 |
|  | Free Grazing | 32.20 |
|  | Stall Feeding | 4.20 |
| Cows | Own Fodder | 12.05 |
|  | Grains/Conc Feed | 2.87 |
|  | Free Grazing | 52.45 |
|  | Stall Feeding | 7.76 |
| Rabbits | Own Fodder | .20 |
|  | Grains/Conc Feed | .09 |
|  | Free Grazing | .14 |
|  | Stall Feeding | .29 |

The $61.86 \%$ respondents feed the fowl from their own store food, $39.24 \%$ respondents feed the pig by purchasing the livestock feed from others while $52.45 \%$ respondents and $32.20 \%$ respondents take their cow and goat respectively for free grazing.

## E. Fishery

Fresh Pond

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 339 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| No | 3114 | 90.2 | 90.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Only 9.8\% respondents have fresh pond for fishery which is use for income generation activity or for family consumption purpose. Assam has ample river and Meghalaya is high terrain area which make it difficult for fresh pond.

Total Land Area of Pond/Tank including Embankment (1acre=3bighas)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 bighas | 292 | 8.5 | 86.1 | 86.1 |
| 2.1-4 bighas | 29 | .8 | 8.6 | 94.7 |
| 4.1-6 bighas | 4 | .1 | 1.2 | 95.9 |
| DK/NA | 14 | .4 | 4.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 339 | 9.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3114 | 90.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 399 total respondents having fresh pond, $86.1 \%$ respondents have the land area of pond or tank including embankment which is less than 2 bighas.

Total Water Area

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 bighas | 309 | 8.9 | 91.2 | 91.2 |
| 2.1-4 bighas | 15 | .4 | 4.4 | 95.6 |
| 4.1-6 bighas | 2 | .1 | .6 | 96.2 |
| DK/NA | 13 | .4 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 339 | 9.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3114 | 90.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of 399 Total respondents having fresh pond, $91.2 \%$ respondents have total water area which is less than 2 bighas.

Fresh Pond Utilized for Fish Farming

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 259 | 7.5 | 76.4 | 76.4 |
| No | 80 | 2.3 | 23.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 339 | 9.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3114 | 90.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of 399 Total respondents having fresh pond, $76.4 \%$ respondents utilize the fresh pond for fish farming while $23.6 \%$ respondents use it for other purposes.

Type of Fish Farming

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extensive fish farming | 239 | 6.9 | 83.9 | 83.9 |
| Intensive fish farming | 46 | 1.3 | 16.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 285 | 8.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3168 | 91.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of 399 Total respondents having fresh pond, $83.9 \%$ respondents follow extensive fish farming (without following technology or traditional farming) and $16.1 \%$ respondents follow intensive fish farming (cultural technology followed).

Fish Production

| Fish Production per Annum (Kg) | Average Fish Production (Kg) | Total Fish Production (Kg) | No.of Respondent <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Fish Production per Annum | 125 | 37498 | 8.7 |

Out of 399 Total respondents having fresh pond, $8.7 \%$ respondents has average fish production of 125 Kg . per annum.

Going for Fishing Outside

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Respond | 29 | 8 | .8 | .8 |
| Yes | 620 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.8 |
| No | 2804 | 81.2 | 81.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

$18.0 \%$ respondents go for fishing outside (river, stream etc) out of the 3453 total respondents. $81.2 \%$ respondents do not go to fish outside or do not like fishing.

## Source of Natural Fishery

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River fishery | 349 | 10.1 | 59.4 | 59.4 |
| Beel fishery | 195 | 5.6 | 33.2 | 92.5 |
| River and Beel | 44 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 588 | 17.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2865 | 83.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of 620 Total respondents practice fishing outside, $59.4 \%$ respondents prefer fishing in river, 33.2\% respondents prefer beel fishing and $7.5 \%$ respondent opted both river and beel fishing.

Annual Income from Fish Production

|  | Average Fish Production (Rs) | Total Fish Production (Rs) | No.of Respondent <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fish Production/Annum | 4322 | 2001210 | 13.4 |

Only 13.4\% respondents respond to the fish production with average amount of Rs. 4322 per annum.

## F. Skills Details

Respondent/Family Members Skill

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2458 | 71.2 | 71.2 | 71.2 |
| No | 995 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Intervention of NGOs has brought forth many changes in the life of the rural people. Out of the 3453 total respondents $71.2 \%$ respondents possessed skills while $28.8 \%$ respondents have no skills or have skills that are undiscovered.

Carpentry

| Carpentry |  | No.of Respondent | In $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Carpentry for Productive Purpose | Yes | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | 40.08 |
|  | No | 145 | 59.92 |
| Source of Carpentry Skill | Got trained before starting | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | 22.03 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 113 | 47.88 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 69 | 29.24 |
|  | Others | 2 | .85 |
| Reason of not Utilising Carpentry | Engaged in other business activity | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | 43.71 |
|  | Raw material not available | 2 | 1.20 |
|  | Poor market demand | 12 | 7.19 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 27 | 16.17 |
|  | Inadequate training | 10 | 5.99 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 40 | 23.95 |
|  | Engage in studies | 1 | .60 |
|  | Others | 2 | 1.20 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $9.85 \%$ respondents possessed carpentry skill. Only $40.1 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $59.9 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $47.9 \%$ respondents acquired their skill as it is part of their culture while $29.2 \%$ respondents learnt it from their experience or business. Engage into other activities $(43.7 \%$ respondents), engage in domestic work ( $24.0 \%$ respondents) and low investment capacity ( $16.2 \%$ respondents) are some of the main reasons of not utilizing the carpentry skill.

Tailoring

|  | Tailoring | No.of Respondent | In $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tailoring for Productive Purpose | Yes | 164 | 49.40 |
|  | No | 168 | 50.60 |
| Source of Tailoring Skill | Got trained before starting | 121 | 37.00 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 179 | 54.74 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 26 | 7.95 |
|  | Others | 1 | .31 |
| Reason of not Utilising Tailoring | Engaged in other business activity | 67 | 25.48 |
|  | Raw material not available | 7 | 2.66 |
|  | Poor market demand | 16 | 6.08 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 22 | 8.37 |
|  | Inadequate training | 14 | 5.32 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 118 | 44.87 |
|  | Engage in studies | 13 | 4.94 |
|  | Others | 6 | 2.28 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $13.51 \%$ respondents know tailoring. Only $49.4 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $50.6 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $54.7 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and $37.0 \%$ respondents got training before the business activity. Engage into domestic works $(44.9 \%$ respondents) and engage in other business activity ( $25.5 \%$ respondents) are some of the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Weaving

|  | Weaving | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Weaving for Productive Purpose | Yes | 327 | 47.60 |
|  | No | 360 | 52.40 |
| Source of Weaving Skill | Got trained before starting | 79 | 11.77 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 560 | 83.46 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 31 | 4.62 |
|  | Others | 1 | .15 |
| Reason of not Utilising Weaving | Engaged in other business activity | 104 | 20.31 |
|  | Raw material not available | 14 | 2.73 |
|  | Poor market demand | 42 | 8.20 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 13 | 11.52 |
|  | Inadequate training | 268 | 2.54 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 5 | 52.34 |
|  | Engage in studies | 7 | .98 |
|  | Others | 1.37 |  |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $27.95 \%$ respondents know weaving. $47.6 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and 52.4\% respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $83.5 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and $11.8 \%$ respondents got training before the business activity. Engage into domestic works (52.3\% respondents), engage in other business activity ( $20.3 \%$ respondents) and low investment capacity (11.5\% respondents) are some of the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Handicraft

| Handicraft |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Handicraft for Productive Purpose | Yes | 73 | 23.25 |
|  | No | 241 | 76.75 |
| Source of Handicraft Skill | Got trained before starting | 21 | 6.75 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 272 | 87.46 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 18 | 5.79 |
|  | Others | 0 | .00 |
| Reason of not Utilising Handicraft | Engaged in other business activity | 63 | 23.16 |
|  | Raw material not available | 9 | 3.31 |
|  | Poor market demand | 14 | 5.15 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 17 | 6.25 |
|  | Inadequate training | 7 | 2.57 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 152 | 55.88 |
|  | Engage in studies | 9 | 3.31 |
|  | Others | 1 | .37 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $12.77 \%$ respondents know handicraft. Only $23.2 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $76.8 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $87.5 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture. Engage into domestic works (55.9\% respondents) and engage in other business activity (23.3\% respondents) are some of the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Knitting

|  | Knitting | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Knitting for Productive Purpose | Yes | 161 | 59.63 |
|  | No | 109 | 40.37 |
| Source of Knitting Skill | Got trained before starting | 85 | 31.72 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 167 | 62.31 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 14 | 5.22 |
| Reason of not Utilising Knitting | Others | 2 | .80 |
|  | Engaged in other business activity | 57 | 25.56 |
|  | Raw material not available | 5 | 2.24 |
|  | Poor market demand | 10 | 3.59 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 4.48 |  |
|  | Inadequate training | 116 | 4.93 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 13 | 52.02 |
|  | Engage in studies | 3.83 |  |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $10.95 \%$ respondents know knitting. $59.6 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $40.4 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $62.3 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and $31.7 \%$ respondents got training before the business activity. Engage into domestic works ( $52.0 \%$ respondents) and engage in other business activity ( $25.6 \%$ respondents) are some of the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Mansoning

| Mansoning |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masoning for Productive Purpose | Yes | 48 | 64.00 |
|  | No | 27 | 36.00 |
| Source of Masoning Skill | Got trained before starting | 19 | 26.39 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 33 | 45.83 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 19 | 26.39 |
|  | Others | 1 | 1.39 |
| Reason of not Utilising Masoning | Engaged in other business activity | 18 | 37.50 |
|  | Raw material not available | 3 | 6.25 |
|  | Poor market demand | 1 | 2.08 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 3 | 6.25 |
|  | Inadequate training | 1 | 2.08 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 19 | 39.58 |
|  | Engage in studies | 1 | 2.08 |
|  | Others | 2 | 4.17 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $3.05 \%$ respondents know mansoning. $64.0 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $36.0 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $45.8 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture, $26.4 \%$ respondents got training before the business activity and $26.4 \%$ respondents learnt by doing business. Engage into domestic works ( $39.6 \%$ respondents) and engage in other business activity (37.5\% respondents) are some of the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Food Processing

| Food Processing |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food Processing for Productive Purpose | Yes | 198 | 71.22 |
|  | No | 80 | 28.78 |
| Source of food processing skill | Got trained before starting | 138 | 50.00 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 128 | 46.38 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 8 | 2.90 |
|  | Others | 2 | . 72 |
| Reason of not Utilising Food Processing | Engaged in other business activity | 77 | 30.80 |
|  | Raw material not available | 6 | 2.40 |
|  | Poor market demand | 12 | 4.80 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 11 | 4.40 |
|  | Inadequate training | 3 | 1.20 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 137 | 54.80 |
|  | Engage in studies | 1 | . 40 |
|  | Others | 3 | 1.20 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $11.31 \%$ respondents know food processing. $71.2 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $28.8 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $46.4 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and $50.0 \%$ respondents got training before the business activity. Engage into domestic works (54.8\% respondents) and engage in other business activity ( $30.8 \%$ respondents) are some of the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Cycle Repairing

| Cycle Repairing |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycle Repair for Productive Purpose | Yes | 33 | 11.30 |
|  | No | 259 | 88.70 |
| Source of Cycle Repair Skill | Got trained before starting | 19 | 6.60 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 257 | 89.24 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 12 | 4.17 |
| Reason of not Utilising Cycle Repair | Engaged in other business activity | 22 | 7.86 |
|  | Raw material not available | 7 | 2.50 |
|  | Poor market demand | 1 | . 36 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 6 | 2.14 |
|  | Inadequate training | 4 | 1.43 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 221 | 78.93 |
|  | Engage in studies | 19 | 6.79 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $11.88 \%$ respondents have knowledge of cycle repairing. Only $11.3 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $88.7 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $89.2 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture. Engage into domestic works ( $78.9 \%$ respondents) is the main reason of not utilizing the skill.

Motor Mechanic

| Motor Mechanic |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Motor Mechanic for Productive Purpose | Yes | 37 | 52.11 |
|  | No | 34 | 47.89 |
| Source of Motor Mechanic Skill | Got trained before starting | 26 | 37.68 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 31 | 44.93 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 12 | 17.39 |
| Reason of not Utilising Motor Mechanic | Engaged in other business activity | 14 | 25.93 |
|  | Raw material not available | 3 | 5.56 |
|  | Poor market demand | 2 | 3.70 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 12 | 22.22 |
|  | Inadequate training | 5 | 9.26 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 12 | 22.22 |
|  | Engage in studies | 3 | 5.56 |
|  | Others | 3 | 5.56 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $2.89 \%$ respondents have knowledge of motor mechanic. Only $52.1 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $47.9 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $44.9 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and $37.77 \%$ respondents got training before starting business. Engage in other business activity ( $25.9 \%$ respondents), engaged in domestic works ( $22.2 \%$ respondents) and low investment capacity ( $22.2 \%$ respondents) are the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Livestock Rearing

| Livestock Rearing |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livestock Rearing for Productive Purpose | Yes | 830 | 48.54 |
|  | No | 880 | 51.46 |
| Source of Livestock Rearing Skill | Got trained before starting | 160 | 9.52 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 1311 | 77.99 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 206 | 12.25 |
|  | Others | 4 | . 24 |
| Reason of not Utilising Livestock Rearing | Engaged in other business activity | 134 | 11.88 |
|  | Raw material not available | 14 | 1.24 |
|  | Poor market demand | 15 | 1.33 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 373 | 33.07 |
|  | Inadequate training | 49 | 4.34 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 526 | 46.63 |
|  | Engage in studies | 8 | . 71 |
|  | Others | 9 | . 80 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $69.59 \%$ respondents rear livestock. $48.5 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $51.5 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. Majority $78.0 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and only $12.3 \%$ respondents learnt while doing business. Engage in domestic work ( $46.6 \%$ respondents) and low investment capacities ( $33.1 \%$ respondents) are the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.
Vegetable Cultivation

| Vegetable Cultivation | No.of Respondent | In \% |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetable Cultivation for Productive Purpose | Yes | 610 | 41.75 |
|  | No | 851 | 58.25 |
| Source of Vegetable Cultivation Skill | Got trained before starting | 135 | 9.33 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 1148 | 79.34 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 162 | 11.20 |
|  | Others | 2 | .14 |
| Reason of not Utilising Vegetable Cultivation | Engaged in other business activity | 130 | 11.78 |
|  | Raw material not available | 14 | 1.27 |
|  | Poor market demand | 30 | 2.72 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 294 | 26.63 |
|  | Inadequate training | 69 | 6.25 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 554 | 50.18 |
|  | Engage in studies | 4 | .36 |
|  | Others | 9 | .82 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $59.44 \%$ respondents cultivate vegetable. $41.8 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $58.2 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. Majority $79.3 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and only $11.2 \%$ respondents learnt while doing business. Engage in domestic work ( $50.2 \%$ respondents) and low investment capacities ( $26.6 \%$ respondents) are the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Horticulture

|  | Horticulture | No.of Respondent | In $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Horticulture for Productive Purpose | Yes | 371 | 37.86 |
|  | No | 609 | 62.14 |
| Source of Horticulture Skill | Got trained before starting | 96 | 9.97 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 726 | 75.39 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 140 | 14.54 |
|  | Others | 1 | .10 |
| Reason of not Utilising Horticulture | Engaged in other business activity | 84 | 11.34 |
|  | Raw material not available | 7 | .94 |
|  | Poor market demand | 8 | 1.08 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 245 | 33.06 |
|  | Inadequate training | 12 | 1.62 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 353 | 47.64 |
|  | Engage in studies | 28 | 3.78 |
|  | Others | 4 | .54 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $39.87 \%$ respondents practice horticulture. $37.9 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $62.1 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. Majority $75.4 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and only $14.5 \%$ respondents learnt while doing business. Engage in domestic work ( $47.6 \%$ respondents) and low investment capacities ( $33.1 \%$ respondents) are the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

Pisciculture

| Pisciculture |  |  |  |  |  |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pisciculture for Productive Purpose | Yes | 84 | 22.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No | 297 | 77.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source of Pisciculture Skill | Got trained before starting | 27 | 7.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 140 | 37.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Learning while doing business | 101 | 26.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Others | 110 | 29.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reason of not Utilising Pisciculture | Engaged in other business activity | 34 | 10.53 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Raw material not available | 12 | 3.72 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Poor market demand | 2 | .62 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Low investment capacity | 15 | 4.64 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Inadequate training | 3 | .93 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 255 | 78.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Engage in studies | 1 | .31 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Others | 1 | .31 |  |  |  |  |  |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $15.50 \%$ respondents practice pisciculture. $22.0 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $78.0 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $37.0 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and $26.7 \%$ respondents learnt while doing business. Engage in domestic work (78.9\% respondents) is the main reason of not utilizing the skill.

Bee Keeping

|  | Bee Keeping | No.of Respondent | In $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bee Keeping for Productive Purpose | Yes | 41 | 11.68 |
|  | No | 310 | 88.32 |
| Source of Bee Keeping Skill | Got trained before starting | 38 | 10.89 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 72 | 20.63 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 121 | 34.67 |
|  | Others | 118 | 33.81 |
| Reason of not Utilising Bee Keeping | Engaged in other business activity | 38 | 11.59 |
|  | Raw material not available | 21 | 6.40 |
|  | Poor market demand | 5 | 1.52 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 28 | 8.54 |
|  | Inadequate training | 11 | 3.35 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 222 | 67.68 |
|  | Engage in studies | 3 | .91 |
|  | Others | 0 | .00 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $14.28 \%$ respondents practice bee keeping. $11.7 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $88.3 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $20.6 \%$ respondents learnt it as part of culture and $34.7 \%$ respondents learnt while doing business. Engage in domestic work ( $67.7 \%$ respondents) and engage in other business ( $11.6 \%$ respondents) are the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

## Bare Foot Technician

|  | Bare Foot Technician | No.of Respondent | In $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BFT for Productive Purpose | Yes | 10 | 55.56 |
|  | No | 8 | 44.44 |
| Source of BFT Skill | Got trained before starting | 11 | 61.11 |
|  | Already knew / learnt as part of culture | 5 | 27.78 |
|  | Learning while doing business | 1 | 5.56 |
|  | Others | 1 | 5.56 |
| Reason of not Utilising BFT | Engaged in other business activity | 0 | .00 |
|  | Raw material not available | 0 | .00 |
|  | Poor market demand | 0 | .00 |
|  | Low investment capacity | 4 | 33.33 |
|  | Inadequate training | 0 | .00 |
|  | Engaged in domestic work | 7 | 58.33 |
|  | Engage in studies | 1 | 8.33 |
|  | Others | 0 | .00 |

Out of the 2458 total respondents with skills, $0.73 \%$ respondents are bare foot technician. $55.6 \%$ respondents utilized their skill and $44.4 \%$ respondents do not utilize their skill for productive purpose. $61.1 \%$ respondents got training before the start of business and $27.8 \%$ respondents learnt as part of culture. Engage in domestic work ( $58.3 \%$ respondents) and engage in other business (33.3\% respondents) are the main reasons of not utilizing the skill.

## G. IGA and Micro-Enterprise

Practice of IGA

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 1561 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 45.2 |
| No | 1892 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $45.2 \%$ respondents practice income generation activity (IGA).
Nature of IGA

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 425 | 12.3 | 27.2 | 27.2 |
| Horticulture | 66 | 1.9 | 4.2 | 31.5 |
| Livestock rearing | 632 | 18.3 | 40.5 | 71.9 |
| Manufacture | 47 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 75.0 |
| Trading | 155 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 84.9 |
| Service | 85 | 2.5 | 5.4 | 90.3 |
| Agriculture \& Horticulture | 8 | .2 | .5 | 90.8 |
| Agriculture \& Livestock | 102 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 97.4 |
| Horticulture \& Livestock | 30 | .9 | 1.9 | 99.3 |
| Livestock \& Manufacturing | 6 | .2 | .4 | 99.7 |
| Agriculture \&Trading | 2 | .1 | .1 | 99.8 |
| Livestock \& Trading | 3 | .1 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1561 | 45.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1892 | 54.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Livestock rearing (40.5\% respondents) and Agriculture ( $27.2 \%$ respondents) are the major nature of IGA taken up the respondents. Only $6.5 \%$ respondents practice both agriculture and livestock rearing together at the time.

Source of IGA Finance

| Source | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bank | 4.16 |
| Govt.Agency | 1.16 |
| NGO | 1.05 |
| Own saving | 55.27 |
| Friends or Others | 3.22 |
| Money lenders | 1.05 |
| Loan from SHG | 33.48 |
| DK / NA | .61 |

Out of the 1561 total respondents who practice IGA, $55.27 \%$ respondents use their own savings and $33.48 \%$ respondents take loan from Self Help Group to start IGA while $4.16 \%$ respondent take loan from banks.

## Outcome of IGA

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit | 1222 | 35.4 | 79.2 | 79.2 |
| Loss | 83 | 2.4 | 5.4 | 84.6 |
| DK/NA | 237 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1542 | 44.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1911 | 55.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 1561 total respondents who practice IGA, $79.2 \%$ respondents earn profit and $5.4 \%$ respondents loss from IGA while $15.4 \%$ respondents could not estimate their profit or loss from the IGA.

Ownership of Micro Enterprise(s)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 142 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| No | 3311 | 95.9 | 95.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, only $4.1 \%$ respondents have micro enterprise.
Own any Business before

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 106 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| No | 3205 | 92.8 | 96.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3311 | 95.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 142 | 4.1 |  |  |

Out of the total respondents who do not have micro enterprise, only $3.1 \%$ respondents had business before.
Reason for Quitting or Discontinuance of the Business

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lack of market facility | 13 | .4 | 16.9 | 16.9 |
| Shortage of raw material | 4 | .1 | 5.2 | 22.1 |
| High cost of production | 10 | .3 | 13.0 | 35.1 |
| Inadequate Finance | 11 | .3 | 14.3 | 49.4 |
| Due to emergency expenditure | 9 | .3 | 11.7 | 61.0 |
| DK/NA | 25 | .7 | 32.5 | 93.5 |
| Others | 4 | .1 | 5.2 | 98.7 |
| Loss in storm | 1 | .0 | 1.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 77 | 2.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3376 | 97.8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |

Lack of market facility ( $16.9 \%$ respondents), inadequate finance ( $14.3 \%$ respondents), High cost of production ( $13.0 \%$ respondents) and due to emergency expenditure ( $11.7 \%$ respondents) are the major causes of quitting or discontinuance of the business.

Nature of Choosing the Business/Micro-Enterprise
Nature of Choosing the Business/Micro-Enterprise

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self initiative | 140 | 4.1 | 80.5 | 80.5 |
| Suggested by Friends \& Relatives | 5 | .1 | 2.9 | 83.3 |
| Suggested by NGO | 25 | .7 | 14.4 | 97.7 |
| Suggested by others | 2 | .1 | 1.1 | 98.9 |
| Suggested by Govt. Department | 2 | .1 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 174 | 5.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3279 | 95.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Self initiation ( $80.5 \%$ respondents) and suggestion from NGO (14.4\% respondents) are the factor behind for choosing the business or micro enterprise.

Trainings Undergone

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No trainings | 107 | 3.1 | 58.8 | 58.8 |
| IGA Management | 58 | 1.7 | 31.9 | 90.7 |
| Short duration REDP(3-5 days) | 14 | .4 | 7.7 | 98.4 |
| REDP(more than 5 days) | 3 | .1 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 182 | 5.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3271 | 94.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Before starting business or micro enterprise $58.8 \%$ respondents did not undergo any training while 31.9\% respondents had undergone IGA management.

## Requirement of Further Training

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 141 | 4.1 | 67.1 | 67.1 |
| No | 69 | 2.0 | 32.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 210 | 6.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3243 | 93.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the respondents having business or micro enterprise, $67.1 \%$ respondents would like to undergo further training while $32.9 \%$ respondents do not feel the need of it.

Area/Subject to be Trained

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livestock | 34 | 1.0 | 36.2 | 36.2 |
| Financial Management \& Record Keeping | 7 | .2 | 7.4 | 43.6 |
| Agriculture | 5 | .1 | 5.3 | 48.9 |
| Homeopathic | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 50.0 |
| Business | 8 | .2 | 8.5 | 58.5 |
| Food Processing | 2 | .1 | 2.1 | 60.6 |
| REDP | 21 | .6 | 22.3 | 83.0 |
| Beautician | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 84.0 |
| Rural Marketing Management | 13 | .4 | 13.8 | 97.9 |
| Tailoring | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 98.9 |
| Weaving | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 94 | 2.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3359 | 97.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the respondents having business or micro enterprise, $36.2 \%$ respondents and $22.3 \%$ respondents would like to undergo further training on livestock and REDP respectively while $13.8 \%$ respondents like undergo further training on rural marketing management.

## Agriculture / Horticulture as Business Activity

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vegetable cultivation | 29 | .8 | 31.2 | 31.2 |
| Ginger | 24 | .7 | 25.8 | 57.0 |
| Pineapple | 4 | .1 | 4.3 | 61.3 |
| Areca nut | 19 | .6 | 20.4 | 81.7 |
| Broomstick | 6 | .2 | 6.5 | 88.2 |
| Betel leaves | 2 | .1 | 2.2 | 90.3 |
| Orange | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 91.4 |
| Rubber | 6 | .2 | 6.5 | 97.8 |
| Jute cultivation | 2 | .1 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 93 | 2.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3360 | 97.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the respondents running agriculture or horticulture business, 31.2\% respondents grow vegetable, 25.8\% respondents grow ginger and $20.4 \%$ respondents grow areca nut.

Livestock Rearing as Business Activity
Livestock Rearing as Business Activity

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poultry | 41 | 1.2 | 40.2 | 40.2 |
| Piggery | 10 | .3 | 9.8 | 50.0 |
| Goatery | 9 | .3 | 8.8 | 58.8 |
| Dairy | 11 | .3 | 10.8 | 69.6 |
| Fishery | 17 | .5 | 16.7 | 86.3 |
| Duckery | 9 | .3 | 8.8 | 95.1 |
| Buffalo | 1 | .0 | 1.0 | 96.1 |
| Bee keeping | 1 | .0 | 1.0 | 97.1 |
| Others | 3 | .1 | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 102 | 3.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3351 | 97.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the respondents practicing livestock rearing as business, $40.2 \%$ respondents have poultry, $16.7 \%$ respondents have fishery and $10.8 \%$ respondents have dairy.

Manufacture as Business Activity

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weaving | 23 | .7 | 46.0 | 46.0 |
| Handicrafts | 2 | .1 | 4.0 | 50.0 |
| Carpentry | 7 | .2 | 14.0 | 64.0 |
| Tailoring \& embroidery | 8 | .2 | 16.0 | 80.0 |
| Food processing | 4 | .1 | 8.0 | 88.0 |
| Bakery | 1 | .0 | 2.0 | 90.0 |
| Others | 5 | .1 | 10.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 50 | 1.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3403 | 98.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the respondents running manufacture business, $46.0 \%$ respondents are weavers, $16.0 \%$ respondents run tailoring and embroidery and $14.0 \%$ respondents are carpenters.

Trading as Business Activity

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grocery shop | 47 | 1.4 | 46.5 | 46.5 |
| Stationery shop | 12 | .3 | 11.9 | 58.4 |
| Garment trading | 10 | .3 | 9.9 | 68.3 |
| Vegetables trading | 9 | .3 | 8.9 | 77.2 |
| Livestock trading | 5 | .1 | 5.0 | 82.2 |
| Meat/Fish trading | 6 | .2 | 5.9 | 88.1 |
| Others | 8 | .2 | 7.9 | 96.0 |
| Pan shop | 1 | .0 | 1.0 | 97.0 |
| Selling Clothes | 2 | .1 | 2.0 | 99.0 |
| Footwear shop | 1 | .0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 101 | 2.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3352 | 97.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the respondents running trading business, $46.5 \%$ respondents run grocery shop and $11.9 \%$ respondents run stationery shop.

Service as Business Activity

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gents saloon/Beauty parlour | 2 | .1 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| Restaurant/Catering | 3 | .1 | 8.6 | 14.3 |
| PCO \& Xerox | 2 | .1 | 5.7 | 20.0 |
| Motor mechanics | 3 | .1 | 8.6 | 28.6 |
| Tent House | 17 | .5 | 48.6 | 77.1 |
| Others | 8 | .2 | 22.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 35 | 1.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3418 | 99.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the respondents running service business, $48.6 \%$ respondents run tent house, $8.6 \%$ respondents run restaurant or catering and motor mechanic.

Source of Finance to run the Business

| Source | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bank | 8.77 |
| Govt.Agency | 2.11 |
| NGO | 4.21 |
| Own saving | 48.77 |
| Borrow from Friends or Others | 6.32 |
| Borrow from Money lenders | 1.05 |
| Loan from SHG | 27.37 |
| DK / NA | 1.40 |

$48.77 \%$ respondents start their business from their own saving, $27.37 \%$ respondents took loan from the SHG and only $8.77 \%$ respondents took bank loan.

Invested Capital for Business

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs.3000 | 44 | 1.3 | 22.1 | 22.1 |
| Rs.3001 - Rs.5000 | 29 | .8 | 14.6 | 36.7 |
| Rs.5001 - Rs. 10000 | 31 | .9 | 15.6 | 52.3 |
| Rs.10001 - Rs.20000 | 31 | .9 | 15.6 | 67.8 |
| Rs.20001 - Rs.50000 | 25 | .7 | 12.6 | 80.4 |
| Rs.50001 - Rs.100000 | 6 | .2 | 3.0 | 83.4 |
| More than Rs.1 lakh | 13 | .4 | 6.5 | 89.9 |
| DK / NA | 20 | .6 | 10.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 199 | 5.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3254 | 94.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Businesses run by the respondents are mostly small business unit and the investments made were less than Rs.3000/- (22.1\% respondents). $15.6 \%$ respondents made investment of amount between Rs. $5000-R s .10000$ and Rs. 10000 - Rs. 20000 each.

## Profit per Annum

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below Rs.24000 | 98 | 2.8 | 48.5 | 48.5 |
| Rs.24001-Rs.36000 | 25 | .7 | 12.4 | 60.9 |
| Rs.36000-Rs.48000 | 15 | .4 | 7.4 | 68.3 |
| Rs.48001-Rs.60000 | 4 | .1 | 2.0 | 70.3 |
| Rs.60001-Rs.72000 | 1 | .0 | .5 | 70.8 |
| Rs.72001-Rs.100000 | 10 | .3 | 5.0 | 75.7 |
| Above Rs.100000 | 5 | .1 | 2.5 | 78.2 |
| DK / NA | 44 | 1.3 | 21.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 202 | 5.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3251 | 94.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The profit from the small business unit was mainly below Rs. 24000 per annum ( $48.5 \%$ respondents) and Between Rs. 24000 - Rs. 36000 per annum (12.4\% respondents).

Future Plan to Implement/Revive the Business Activity

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 86 | 2.5 | 57.3 | 57.3 |
| No | 7 | .2 | 4.7 | 62.0 |
| Not sure / Cannot say | 34 | 1.0 | 22.7 | 84.7 |
| DK / NA | 23 | .7 | 15.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 150 | 4.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3303 | 95.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $57.3 \%$ respondents who had and are running business want to revive the business activity or have future plan to implement the business.

## H. Saving and Credit

Whether SHG Member

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2313 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 |
| No | 1140 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

From the 3453 total respondents, $67.0 \%$ respondents belong to $S H G$ members and $33.0 \%$ respondents are not SHG members.

Money Saved

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 3163 | 91.6 | 91.6 | 91.6 |
| No | 290 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

From the 3453 total respondents, $91.6 \%$ respondents save money and $8.4 \%$ respondents do not save money.
Reasons for not Saving Money

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No surplus | 145 | 4.2 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| No habit of saving | 81 | 2.3 | 27.9 | 77.9 |
| Over spending | 64 | 1.9 | 22.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 290 | 8.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3163 | 91.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

From the 290 respondents who do not save money, $50.0 \%$ respondents have no surplus money to save, $27.9 \%$ respondents are not in the habit of saving money and $22.1 \%$ respondents are over spending.

Place of Saving Money

| Place of Saving Money | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| At home | 17.52 |
| In SHG | 42.49 |
| Bank / Post office/Insurance (private \& Govt.) | 31.95 |
| People's own association | 1.10 |
| Investing in private companies | 6.71 |
| In the form of asset | .09 |
| Others | .13 |

From the 3163 respondents who save money, Majority $42.49 \%$ respondents save money in SHG, $31.95 \%$ respondents save money in bank or post office or insurance (govt. \& private) and $17.52 \%$ respondents save money at home.

Purpose of Saving Money

| Purpose of Saving Money | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Education of children | 28.42 |
| Treatment of sickness | 25.56 |
| Buying property | 10.45 |
| House repair/construction | 13.38 |
| Festivals/entertaining guests | 2.30 |
| Marriage | 2.56 |
| Starting business OR Maintaining business | 4.52 |
| Agriculture | 7.72 |
| Buying of household articles | 4.91 |
| Others | .17 |

The purpose of saving money is mainly for children education ( $28.42 \%$ respondents), treatment of sickness ( $25.56 \%$ respondents), house repairing or construction ( $13.38 \%$ respondents) and buying property (10.45\% respondents).

Frequency of Saving

| Frequency of Saving | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Daily | 1.78 |
| Weekly | 1.23 |
| Monthly | 68.12 |
| No fixed | 17.40 |
| Half yearly | 4.42 |
| Once a year | 4.68 |
| At the time of harvesting crop | 2.27 |
| Others | .09 |

Majority of the respondent who save money are from the SHG groups. The usual practice of saving is during their monthly meeting. $68.12 \%$ respondents save money monthly, $17.40 \%$ respondents have no fixed timely for saving and $4.68 \%$ respondents saves once a year.

Saving Method

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned saving (part of budget) | 2067 | 59.9 | 65.3 | 65.3 |
| Surplus after expenses | 876 | 25.4 | 27.7 | 93.0 |
| DK / NA | 220 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3163 | 91.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 290 | 8.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The purpose of saving money was mainly for children education ( $28.42 \%$ respondents), treatment of sickness ( $25.56 \%$ respondents), house repairing or construction ( $13.38 \%$ respondents) and buying property (10.45\% respondents).

Annual Saving (in Rupees)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs. 100 | 135 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Rs.101 - Rs.300 | 356 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 15.5 |
| Rs.301 - Rs.500 | 539 | 15.6 | 17.1 | 32.6 |
| Rs.501 - Rs. 800 | 291 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 41.8 |
| Rs.801 - Rs.1200 | 403 | 11.7 | 12.7 | 54.5 |
| R.1201 - Rs.2000 | 403 | 1.7 | 12.7 | 67.3 |
| Rs.2001 - Rs.4000 | 336 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 77.9 |
| Rs.4001 - Rs.6000 | 187 | 5.4 | 5.9 | 83.8 |
| More than Rs.6000 | 370 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 95.5 |
| DK / NA | 141 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3161 | 91.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 292 | 8.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The amount of monthly saving made by the SHG members is very small (maximum average Rs.40/-per month). $17.1 \%$ respondents has Rs.300-Rs. 500 range of annual saving while $12.7 \%$ respondents has Rs.800-Rs. 1200 range and Rs.1200-Rs. 2000 range of annual saving respectively.

Total Family Saving per Annum Presently

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs.5000 | 1383 | 40.1 | 43.7 | 43.7 |
| Rs.5001 - Rs.10000 | 693 | 20.1 | 21.9 | 65.6 |
| Rs.10001 - Rs.20000 | 336 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 76.3 |
| Rs.20001 - Rs.30000 | 218 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 83.1 |
| Rs 30001 - Rs.50000 | 147 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 87.8 |
| More than Rs.50000 | 89 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 90.6 |
| DK / NA | 297 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3163 | 91.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 290 | 8.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The total family saving per annum at present are less than Rs. 5000 (43.7\% respondents), Rs.5000- Rs. 10000 range ( $20.1 \%$ respondents) and Rs. $10000-R s .20000$ range ( $10.6 \%$ respondents).

Money Borrowed

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2347 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
| No | 1106 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $68.0 \%$ respondents borrow money and $32.0 \%$ respondents do not borrow money.

Frequency of Borrowing Money

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekly | 36 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Monthly | 239 | 6.9 | 10.4 | 12.0 |
| Once in 3 months | 319 | 9.2 | 13.9 | 25.9 |
| Once in 6 months | 452 | 13.1 | 19.7 | 45.6 |
| Once in a year | 943 | 27.3 | 41.1 | 86.7 |
| Once in few years | 297 | 8.6 | 12.9 | 99.6 |
| When Needed | 9 | .3 | .4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2295 | 66.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1158 | 33.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 2347 total respondents who borrow money, $41.1 \%$ respondents borrow money once in a year while $19.7 \%$ respondents borrow once in six months.

Purpose of Credit

| Purpose of Credit | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Education of Children | 22.83 |
| Treatment of Disease | 35.58 |
| Buying Property | 4.75 |
| House Repair/Construction | 8.64 |
| Festivals/Entertaining Guests | 3.91 |
| Marriage | 1.82 |
| Starting Business | 8.02 |
| Agriculture | 10.33 |
| Buying of Household Articles | 4.11 |

Out of the 2347 total respondents who borrow money, $35.58 \%$ respondents borrow money for treatment of disease, $22.83 \%$ respondents borrow for children education and $10.33 \%$ respondents for buying household articles.

Source of Credit: Bank (rate of interest p.a)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2\% | 53 | 1.5 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| $2.1-3 \%$ | 23 | .7 | 9.1 | 30.0 |
| $3.1-5 \%$ | 10 | .3 | 4.0 | 34.0 |
| $5.1-10 \%$ | 37 | 1.1 | 14.6 | 48.6 |
| More than 10\% | 126 | 3.6 | 49.8 | 98.4 |
| DK NA | 4 | .1 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 253 | 7.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3200 | 92.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $64.4 \%$ respondents out of the 235 total respondents avail bank loan with an interest of more than 5 percent per annum.

Source of Credit: Money Lender (rate of interest p.a)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No interest | 13 | .4 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| Less than $2 \%$ | 5 | .1 | 2.2 | 7.8 |
| $2.1-3 \%$ | 16 | .5 | 7.0 | 14.8 |
| $3.1-5 \%$ | 95 | 2.8 | 41.3 | 56.1 |
| $5.1-10 \%$ | 54 | 1.6 | 23.5 | 79.6 |
| More than 10\% | 46 | 1.3 | 20.0 | 99.6 |
| DK NA | 1 | .0 | .4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 230 | 6.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3223 | 93.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 230 total respondents who borrow money from money lenders vary with an interest of 3 - 5 percent annum (41.3\% respondents) and more than 5 per annum (43.5\% respondents).

Source of Credit: People's Association (rate of interest p.a)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No interest | 3 | .1 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Less than 2\% | 4 | .1 | 3.7 | 6.5 |
| $2.1-3 \%$ | 2 | .1 | 1.9 | 8.4 |
| $3.1-5 \%$ | 40 | 1.2 | 37.4 | 45.8 |
| $5.1-10 \%$ | 9 | .3 | 8.4 | 54.2 |
| More than 10\% | 47 | 1.4 | 43.9 | 98.1 |
| DK NA | 2 | .1 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 107 | 3.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3346 | 96.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 107 total respondents who borrow money from people's association vary with an interest of $3-5$ percent annum (37.4\% respondents) and more than 5 per annum (51.9\% respondents).

Amount Needed to be Borrow (in Rupees)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs.500 | 163 | 4.7 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Rs.501 - Rs.1000 | 437 | 12.7 | 19.5 | 26.7 |
| Rs.1001 - Rs.3000 | 621 | 18.0 | 27.7 | 54.4 |
| Rs 3001 - Rs. 5000 | 444 | 12.9 | 19.8 | 74.2 |
| Rs. 5001 - Rs.10000 | 321 | 9.3 | 14.3 | 88.5 |
| Rs.10001 - Rs.20000 | 140 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 94.7 |
| Rs.20001 - Rs.50000 | 54 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 97.1 |
| More than Rs.50000 | 16 | .5 | .7 | 97.8 |
| DK / NA | 49 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2245 | 65.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1208 | 35.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $74.2 \%$ respondents generally borrow money at the range below Rs. 5000 and $23.6 \%$ respondents borrow of amount more than Rs. 5000.

Present Amount of Credit (in Rupees)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs.500 | 413 | 12.0 | 18.9 | 18.9 |
| Rs.501 - Rs.1000 | 606 | 17.5 | 27.7 | 46.6 |
| Rs.1001 - Rs.5000 | 567 | 16.4 | 25.9 | 72.5 |
| Rs 5001 - Rs. 10000 | 210 | 6.1 | 9.6 | 82.1 |
| More than Rs.10000 | 150 | 4.3 | 6.9 | 88.9 |
| DK / NA | 242 | 7.0 | 11.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2188 | 63.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1265 | 36.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

At present, $72.5 \%$ respondents have credit amount which is below Rs. 5000 and $16.4 \%$ respondents have credit amount more than Rs. 5000.

Loan Default

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 288 | 8.3 | 12.3 | 12.3 |
| No | 2052 | 59.4 | 87.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2340 | 67.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1113 | 32.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 2347 respondents who borrow money, $87.7 \%$ respondents are not loan defaulters and only 12.3\% respondents are loan defaulters.

Amount of Loan Default (in Rupees)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs. 100 | 14 | .4 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Rs.101 - Rs.300 | 38 | 1.1 | 10.2 | 14.0 |
| Rs.301 - Rs.500 | 77 | 2.2 | 20.7 | 34.7 |
| Rs.501 - Rs. 1000 | 77 | 2.2 | 20.7 | 55.4 |
| Rs.1001 - Rs.3000 | 36 | 1.0 | 9.7 | 65.1 |
| Rs.3001 - Rs.5000 | 30 | .9 | 8.1 | 73.1 |
| Rs.5001 - Rs.10000 | 22 | .6 | 5.9 | 79.0 |
| Rs.10001 - Rs.20000 | 14 | .4 | 3.8 | 82.8 |
| More than Rs.20000 | 6 | .2 | 1.6 | 84.4 |
| DK / NA | 58 | 1.7 | 15.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 372 | 10.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3081 | 89.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $34.7 \%$ respondents has loan default amount which is below Rs. 500 and $20.7 \%$ respondents has default of Rs.500-Rs. 1000.

Repay Loan by Selling Assets

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 334 | 9.7 | 14.3 | 14.3 |
| No | 1999 | 57.9 | 85.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2333 | 67.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1120 | 32.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of 2347 total respondents who borrow money, $14.3 \%$ respondents had to sell their assets to repay the debt while $85.7 \%$ respondents could make their regular repayment.

Value of Sold Asset (in Rupees)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs.100 | 56 | 1.6 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
| Rs.101 - Rs.300 | 75 | 2.2 | 17.8 | 31.0 |
| Rs.301 - Rs.500 | 87 | 2.5 | 20.6 | 51.7 |
| Rs.501 - Rs.1000 | 55 | 1.6 | 13.0 | 64.7 |
| Rs.1001 - Rs.3000 | 20 | .6 | 4.7 | 69.4 |
| Rs.3001 - Rs.5000 | 16 | .5 | 3.8 | 73.2 |
| Rs.5001 - Rs.10000 | 20 | .6 | 4.7 | 78.0 |
| Rs.10001 - Rs.20000 | 6 | .2 | 1.4 | 79.4 |
| More than Rs.20000 | 3 | .1 | .7 | 80.1 |
| DK / NA | 84 | 2.4 | 19.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 422 | 12.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3031 | 87.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The 51.7\% respondents sold their assets worth value of below Rs. 500 and $21.5 \%$ respondents sold worth value between Rs.500-Rs. 5000 to repay their debt.

Lost of Mortgaged Asset or Property due to Failure in Repaying Loan

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 225 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 9.7 |
| No | 2104 | 60.9 | 90.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2329 | 67.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1124 | 32.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $9.7 \%$ respondents had lost their mortgage assets or properties for not repaying the loan $90.3 \%$ respondents are safe from losing their property or mortgage assets.

Value of Lost Assets or Property (in Rupees)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than Rs. 100 | 28 | .8 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| Rs. 101 - Rs. 300 | 58 | 1.7 | 19.3 | 28.6 |
| Rs.301 - Rs. 500 | 82 | 2.4 | 27.2 | 55.8 |
| Rs. 501 - Rs. 1000 | 22 | .6 | 7.3 | 63.1 |
| Rs.1001 - Rs.3000 | 8 | .2 | 2.7 | 65.8 |
| Rs.3001 - Rs.5000 | 12 | .3 | 4.0 | 69.8 |
| Rs.5001 - Rs. 10000 | 5 | .1 | 1.7 | 71.4 |
| Rs. $10001-$ Rs. 20000 | 13 | .4 | 4.3 | 75.7 |
| More than Rs.20000 | 10 | .3 | 3.3 | 79.1 |
| DK / NA | 63 | 1.8 | 20.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 301 | 8.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3152 | 91.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $55.8 \%$ respondent lost property assets worth value of below Rs. 500 and $14.0 \%$ respondents lost property worth value between Rs.500-Rs. 5000 to repay their debt.

Borrowing Food Grains

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 756 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 21.9 |
| No | 2697 | 78.1 | 78.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $21.9 \%$ respondents borrow food grains for consumption or for seedling (cultivation).

Quantity of Food Grain Borrowed in a Year

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 quintal | 338 | 9.8 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| $1.1-2$ quintals | 198 | 5.7 | 26.2 | 70.9 |
| $2.1-5$ quintals | 110 | 3.2 | 14.6 | 85.4 |
| More than 5 quintals | 63 | 1.8 | 8.3 | 93.8 |
| DK NA | 47 | 1.4 | 6.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 756 | 21.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2697 | 78.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $85.5 \%$ respondents borrow food grain usually of less than 5 quintals per year while only $14.5 \%$ respondents borrow food grain of more than 5 quintals per year.

## I. Gender Details

Keeping of Family Income

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 1097 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 31.8 |
| Women | 902 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 57.9 |
| Both | 1443 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 99.7 |
| DK / NA | 11 | .3 | .3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

To keep the family income, out of the 3453 total respondents $41.8 \%$ respondents has both men and women, $31.8 \%$ respondents has men and $26.1 \%$ respondents has women.

Control over Family Income

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men | 1263 | 36.6 | 36.6 | 36.6 |
| Women | 700 | 20.3 | 20.3 | 56.8 |
| Both | 1419 | 41.1 | 41.1 | 97.9 |
| DK / NA | 71 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

To control the family income, out of the 3453 total respondents $41.8 \%$ respondents has both men and women, $36.6 \%$ respondents has men and $20.3 \%$ respondents has women. When it comes to controlling the family income men have higher respondents as compare to women.

Freedom of Women to Control (spend/invest) over their own Earnings/Savings

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2921 | 84.6 | 84.6 | 84.6 |
| No | 431 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 97.1 |
| DK / NA | 101 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The $84.6 \%$ respondents have women having freedom to control in term of spending or investing over their own earning or saving and still $12.5 \%$ respondents of women lack this freedom.

Husband Membership in Association or Community Base Community

| Association or Community Base Community | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Local Club | 11.32 |
| Farmers Club | 3.16 |
| VCDC | 8.92 |
| VDC | 33.50 |
| Weavers Committee/Club | .51 |
| Mahila Samity | 3.57 |
| Village Dorbar/Panchayat | 29.68 |
| Political Party | 8.06 |
| Others | 1.27 |

Majority of the respondents has husband membership in VDC (33.50\% respondents), village dorbar or panchayat (29.68\% respondents) and local club (11.32\% respondents).

Wife Membership in Association or Community Base Community

| Association or Community Base Community | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Local Club | 2.02 |
| Farmers Club | 1.10 |
| VCDC | 1.79 |
| VDC | 4.61 |
| Weavers Committee/Club | 2.54 |
| Mahila Samity | 75.95 |
| Village Dorbar/Panchayat | 8.48 |
| Political Party | 1.90 |
| Others | 1.61 |

Majority of the respondents has wife membership in mahila samity ( $75.95 \%$ respondents) and village dorbar or panchayat ( $8.48 \%$ respondents)

Participation of Women in Village Meetings

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 2130 | 61.7 | 61.7 | 61.7 |
| No | 1323 | 38.3 | 38.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Majority of the respondents (61.7\% respondents) has women of the family participating in the village meetings while still $38.3 \%$ respondents do not.

Role of Men: Education of Children

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 137 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 398 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 16.7 |
| Discussant | 959 | 27.8 | 29.9 | 46.5 |
| Influential | 83 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 49.1 |
| Decision maker | 1515 | 43.9 | 47.2 | 96.3 |
| DK / NA | 120 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3212 | 93.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 241 | 7.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Education of Children

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 162 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 328 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 14.7 |
| Discussant | 1403 | 40.6 | 42.1 | 56.8 |
| Influential | 200 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 62.8 |
| Decision maker | 1167 | 33.8 | 35.0 | 97.8 |
| DK / NA | 74 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3334 | 96.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 119 | 3.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in children education, $47.2 \%$ men and $35.0 \%$ women are decision maker while $29.9 \%$ men and $42.1 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Occupation of Children

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 71 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 245 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 10.1 |
| Discussant | 902 | 26.1 | 28.9 | 39.0 |
| Influential | 83 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 41.7 |
| Decision maker | 1270 | 36.8 | 40.7 | 82.4 |
| DK /NA | 550 | 15.9 | 17.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3121 | 90.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 332 | 9.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Occupation of Children

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 135 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 326 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 14.2 |
| Discussant | 1406 | 40.7 | 43.4 | 57.6 |
| Influential | 170 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 62.9 |
| Decision maker | 683 | 19.8 | 21.1 | 84.0 |
| DK / NA | 519 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3239 | 93.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 214 | 6.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in occupation of children, $40.7 \%$ men and $21.1 \%$ women are decision maker while $28.9 \%$ men and $43.4 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Marriage of Children

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 60 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 266 | 7.7 | 8.9 | 10.9 |
| Discussant | 718 | 20.8 | 24.0 | 35.0 |
| Influential | 41 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 36.3 |
| Decision maker | 1129 | 32.7 | 37.8 | 74.1 |
| DK / NA | 772 | 22.4 | 25.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2986 | 86.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 467 | 13.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Marriage of Children

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 120 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 220 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 10.9 |
| Discussant | 1176 | 34.1 | 37.8 | 48.7 |
| Influential | 128 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 52.8 |
| Decision maker | 715 | 20.7 | 23.0 | 75.8 |
| DK / NA | 752 | 21.8 | 24.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3111 | 90.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 342 | 9.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in marriage of children, $37.8 \%$ men and $23.0 \%$ women are decision maker while $24.0 \%$ men and $37.8 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Purchase of Household Articles

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 96 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 269 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 11.5 |
| Discussant | 963 | 27.9 | 30.4 | 41.9 |
| Influential | 146 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 46.6 |
| Decision maker | 1542 | 44.7 | 48.7 | 95.3 |
| DK NA | 150 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3166 | 91.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 287 | 8.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Purchase of Household Articles

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 163 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 441 | 12.8 | 13.3 | 18.3 |
| Discussant | 1278 | 37.0 | 38.7 | 56.9 |
| Influential | 218 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 63.5 |
| Decision maker | 1102 | 31.9 | 33.3 | 96.9 |
| DK / NA | 104 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3306 | 95.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 147 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in purchase of household articles, $48.7 \%$ men and $33.3 \%$ women are decision maker while $30.4 \%$ men and $38.7 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Construction of House

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 64 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 219 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 8.9 |
| Discussant | 834 | 24.2 | 26.2 | 35.1 |
| Influential | 110 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 38.6 |
| Decision maker | 1804 | 52.2 | 56.7 | 95.3 |
| DK / NA | 149 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3180 | 92.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 273 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Construction of House

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 281 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 484 | 14.0 | 14.7 | 23.2 |
| Discussant | 1563 | 45.3 | 47.3 | 70.5 |
| Influential | 167 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 75.5 |
| Decision maker | 698 | 20.2 | 21.1 | 96.7 |
| DK / NA | 110 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3303 | 95.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 150 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in construction of house, $56.7 \%$ men and $21.1 \%$ women are decision maker while $26.2 \%$ men and $47.3 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Borrowing Money for Immediate Needs

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 94 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 173 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 8.6 |
| Discussant | 843 | 24.4 | 27.0 | 35.6 |
| Influential | 91 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 38.5 |
| Decision maker | 1749 | 50.7 | 56.1 | 94.6 |
| DK / NA | 168 | 4.9 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3118 | 90.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 335 | 9.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Borrowing Money for Immediate Needs

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 253 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 435 | 12.6 | 13.5 | 21.3 |
| Discussant | 1406 | 40.7 | 43.6 | 64.9 |
| Influential | 163 | 4.7 | 5.1 | 69.9 |
| Decision maker | 835 | 24.2 | 25.9 | 95.8 |
| DK / NA | 135 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3227 | 93.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 226 | 6.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in borrow money for immediate needs, $56.1 \%$ men and $25.9 \%$ women are decision maker while $27.0 \%$ men and $43.6 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Savings

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 144 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 271 | 7.8 | 8.6 | 13.1 |
| Discussant | 1079 | 31.2 | 34.0 | 47.1 |
| Influential | 112 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 50.7 |
| Decision maker | 1405 | 40.7 | 44.3 | 95.0 |
| DK /NA | 158 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3169 | 91.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 284 | 8.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Savings

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 152 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 285 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 13.2 |
| Discussant | 1250 | 36.2 | 37.8 | 51.0 |
| Influential | 200 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 57.1 |
| Decision maker | 1301 | 37.7 | 39.3 | 96.4 |
| DK /NA | 119 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3307 | 95.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 146 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in saving money, $44.3 \%$ men respondents and $39.3 \%$ women respondents are decision maker while $34.0 \%$ men respondents and $37.8 \%$ women respondents are discussant.

Role of Men: Buying and Selling Land

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 70 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 177 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 8.2 |
| Discussant | 662 | 19.2 | 22.0 | 30.2 |
| Influential | 58 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 32.1 |
| Decision maker | 1215 | 35.2 | 40.4 | 72.5 |
| DK / NA | 827 | 24.0 | 27.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3009 | 87.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 444 | 12.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Buying and Selling Land

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 257 | 7.4 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 263 | 7.6 | 8.4 | 16.6 |
| Discussant | 1125 | 32.6 | 36.0 | 52.6 |
| Influential | 77 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 55.1 |
| Decision maker | 593 | 17.2 | 19.0 | 74.0 |
| DK / NA | 812 | 23.5 | 26.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3127 | 90.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 326 | 9.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in buying and selling land, $40.4 \%$ men and $19.0 \%$ women are decision maker while $22.0 \%$ men and $36.0 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Selling of Ornaments

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 114 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 235 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 12.0 |
| Discussant | 633 | 18.3 | 21.7 | 33.6 |
| Influential | 52 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 35.4 |
| Decision maker | 901 | 26.1 | 30.9 | 66.3 |
| DK / NA | 984 | 28.5 | 33.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2919 | 84.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 534 | 15.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Selling of Ornaments

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 114 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 234 | 6.8 | 7.7 | 11.5 |
| Discussant | 897 | 26.0 | 29.7 | 41.2 |
| Influential | 86 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 44.0 |
| Decision maker | 742 | 21.5 | 24.5 | 68.6 |
| DK / NA | 950 | 27.5 | 31.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3023 | 87.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 430 | 12.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in selling ornaments, $30.9 \%$ men and $24.5 \%$ women are decision maker while $21.7 \%$ men and $29.7 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Family Planning

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 86 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 170 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 8.4 |
| Discussant | 975 | 28.2 | 31.9 | 40.2 |
| Influential | 81 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 42.9 |
| Decision maker | 1341 | 38.8 | 43.8 | 86.7 |
| DK /NA | 408 | 11.8 | 13.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3061 | 88.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 392 | 11.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Role of Women: Family Planning

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 120 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 208 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 10.3 |
| Discussant | 1205 | 34.9 | 37.9 | 48.2 |
| Influential | 111 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 51.7 |
| Decision maker | 1137 | 32.9 | 35.8 | 87.5 |
| DK / NA | 399 | 11.6 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3180 | 92.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 273 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in family planning, $43.8 \%$ men and $35.8 \%$ women are decision maker while $31.9 \%$ men and $37.9 \%$ women are discussant.

Role of Men: Farm Management

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 75 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 151 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 8.5 |
| Discussant | 633 | 18.3 | 23.7 | 32.1 |
| Influential | 54 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 34.2 |
| Decision maker | 1115 | 32.3 | 41.7 | 75.9 |
| DK / NA | 644 | 18.7 | 24.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2672 | 77.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 781 | 22.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Role of Women: Farm Management

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer | 144 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Contributor (making suggestion) | 218 | 6.3 | 7.9 | 13.0 |
| Discussant | 1051 | 30.4 | 37.8 | 50.9 |
| Influential | 144 | 4.2 | 5.2 | 56.1 |
| Decision maker | 590 | 17.1 | 21.2 | 77.3 |
| DK /NA | 630 | 18.2 | 22.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2777 | 80.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 676 | 19.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Considering the role of men and women in farm management, $41.7 \%$ men and $21.2 \%$ women are decision maker while $23.7 \%$ men and $37.8 \%$ women are discussant.

## J. Migration

Migration of Family Members to Other Place

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 261 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| No | 3192 | 92.4 | 92.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents only $7.6 \%$ respondents have migration of family members to other place for job, marriage, education etc.

## Male Migration: Rural to Rural

| Male Migration | No.of Respondent (\%) | Total No.of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rural to Rural | 1.9 | 3453 |

Out of the 3453 total respondents only $1.9 \%$ respondents have migration of male family member from rural to rural area.

Rural to Rural: Age category (male)

| Age Category | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14.1-18$ years | 10 | .3 | 15.6 | 15.6 |
| $18.1-35$ years | 41 | 1.2 | 64.1 | 79.7 |
| $35.1-45$ years | 10 | .3 | 15.6 | 95.3 |
| Above 45 years | 3 | .1 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 64 | 1.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3389 | 98.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The age groups of male family members who migrate from rural to rural area are 18-35 years (64.1 respondents), $34-45$ years ( $45.6 \%$ respondents), $14-18$ years ( $15.6 \%$ respondents) and above 45 years ( $4.7 \%$ respondents).

Rural to Rural: Purpose (male)

| Male Purpose of Migration | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily wage earning (irregular) | 11 | .3 | 17.7 | 17.7 |
| Industrial labour (regular) | 10 | .3 | 16.1 | 33.9 |
| Govt.employee | 6 | .2 | 9.7 | 43.5 |
| Employed in private organization | 5 | .1 | 8.1 | 51.6 |
| Trading/business activity | 1 | .0 | 1.6 | 53.2 |
| Marriage | 29 | .8 | 46.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 62 | 1.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3391 | 98.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The main reason for migration of male family members from rural to rural is for job or family living. $53.2 \%$ respondents has male migration from rural to rural for daily wage, industrial labour, govt.or private employment, trading or business while $46.8 \%$ respondents male migration was because of marriage.

Female Migration: Rural to Rural

| Female Migration | No.of Respondent (\%) | Total No.of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rural to Rural | 2.6 | 3453 |

Out of the 3453 total respondents only $2.6 \%$ respondents have migration of female family member from rural to rural area.

## Rural to Rural: Age category (female)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 14 years | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| $14.1-18$ years | 27 | .8 | 30.0 | 31.1 |
| $18.1-35$ years | 55 | 1.6 | 61.1 | 92.2 |
| $35.1-45$ years | 6 | .2 | 6.7 | 98.9 |
| DK / NA | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 90 | 2.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3363 | 97.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The age groups of female family members who migrate from rural to rural area are 18-35 years (61.1 respondents), $14-18$ years ( $30.0 \%$ respondents) and above 35 years ( $6.7 \%$ respondents) and below 14 years (1.1\% respondents).

Rural to Rural: Purpose (female)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily wage earning (irregular) | 5 | .1 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| Industrial labour (regular) | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 6.8 |
| Employed in private organization | 3 | .1 | 3.4 | 10.2 |
| Trading/business activity | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 11.4 |
| Marriage | 77 | 2.2 | 87.5 | 98.9 |
| $2 \& 3$ | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 88 | 2.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3365 | 97.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The main reason for migration of female family member from rural to rural is marriage ( $87.5 \%$ respondents) and only $5.7 \%$ respondents migrate to earn daily wage.

Male Migration: Rural to Urban

| Male Migration | No.of Respondent (\%) | Total No.of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Rural to Urban | 2.3 | 3453 |

Out of the 3453 total respondents only $2.3 \%$ respondents have migration of male family member from rural to urban area.

Rural to Urban: Age category (male)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 14 years | 1 | .0 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| $14.1-18$ years | 11 | .3 | 13.6 | 14.8 |
| $18.1-35$ years | 55 | 1.6 | 67.9 | 82.7 |
| $35.1-45$ years | 11 | .3 | 13.6 | 96.3 |
| Above 45 years | 3 | .1 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 81 | 2.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3372 | 97.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The age groups of male family members who migrate from rural to urban area are 18-35 years (67.9 respondents), $14-18$ years ( $13.6 \%$ respondents) and above 35 years ( $17.3 \%$ respondents) and below 14 years (1.2\% respondents).

## Rural to Urban: Purpose (male)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily wage earning (irregular) | 12 | .3 | 15.2 | 15.2 |
| Industrial labour (regular) | 16 | .5 | 20.3 | 35.4 |
| Govt.employee | 17 | .5 | 21.5 | 57.0 |
| Employed in private organization | 21 | .6 | 26.6 | 83.5 |
| Trading/business activity | 3 | .1 | 3.8 | 87.3 |
| Marriage | 6 | .0 | 7.6 | 94.9 |
| Better wage earnings | 1 | .0 | 1.3 | 96.2 |
| Children education / Education | 1 | .0 | 1.3 | 97.5 |
| Others | 1 | .0 | 1.3 | 98.7 |
| Industrial \& Govt.employee | 1 | 2.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 79 | 97.7 |  |  |
| No Respond | 3374 | 100.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 |  |  |  |

The main reason for migration of male family members from rural to urban is for job or family living. 92.4\% respondents has male migration from rural to rural for daily wage, industrial labour, govt. or private employment, trading or business while $7.6 \%$ respondents male migration was because of marriage.

Female Migration: Rural to Urban

| Female Migration | No.of Respondent (\%) | Total No.of Respondent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rural to Urban | 1.5 | 3453 |

Out of the 3453 total respondents only $1.5 \%$ respondents have migration of female family member from rural to urban area.

Rural to Urban: Age category (female)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Below 14 years | 1 | .0 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| $14.1-18$ years | 3 | .1 | 6.3 | 8.3 |
| $18.1-35$ years | 41 | 1.2 | 85.4 | 93.8 |
| $35.1-45$ years | 2 | .1 | 4.2 | 97.9 |
| DK / NA | 1 | .0 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 48 | 1.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3405 | 98.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The age groups of female family members who migrate from rural to urban area are 18-35 years (85.4 respondents), 14-18 years ( $6.3 \%$ respondents) and above 35 years ( $4.2 \%$ respondents) and below 14 years ( $2.1 \%$ respondents).

Rural to Urban: Purpose (female)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily wage earning (irregular) | 3 | .1 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Industrial labour (regular) | 4 | .1 | 8.3 | 14.6 |
| Govt.employee | 3 | .1 | 6.3 | 20.8 |
| Employed in private organization | 15 | .4 | 31.3 | 52.1 |
| Marriage | 16 | .5 | 33.3 | 85.4 |
| Seeking employment in lean season | 1 | .0 | 2.1 | 87.5 |
| Better wage earnings | 1 | .0 | 89.6 |  |
| Children education / Education | 4 | .1 | 8.3 | 97.9 |
| Others | 1 | .0 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 48 | 1.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3405 | 98.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The main reason for migration of female family members from rural to urban is marriage (33.3\% respondents), children education ( $8.3 \%$ respondents) and job related and family income (56.4\% respondents).

Migrated Worker: Distance Migrated in Kms (male)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| within 50 Km | 20 | .6 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| $50.1-100 \mathrm{Km}$ | 12 | .3 | 10.0 | 26.7 |
| $100.1-150 \mathrm{Km}$ | 8 | .2 | 6.7 | 33.3 |
| $150.1-200 \mathrm{Km}$ | 8 | .2 | 6.7 | 40.0 |
| $200.1-500 \mathrm{Km}$ | 15 | .4 | 12.5 | 52.5 |
| $500.1-1000 \mathrm{Km}$ | 3 | .1 | 2.5 | 55.0 |
| Above 1000 Km | 54 | 1.6 | 45.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 120 | 3.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3333 | 96.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

During the past 3 years, maximum number of male members migrate more than $1000 \mathrm{Km} .(45.0 \%$ respondents) and within 100 Km ( $26.7 \%$ respondents).

Migrated Worker: Purpose of Migration (male)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily wage earning (irregular) | 1 | .0 | .8 | .8 |
| Industrial labour (regular) | 72 | 2.1 | 55.0 | 55.7 |
| Govt.employee | 4 | .1 | 3.1 | 58.8 |
| Employed in private organization | 10 | .3 | 7.6 | 66.4 |
| Trading/business activity | 1 | .0 | .8 | 67.2 |
| Marriage | 15 | .4 | 11.5 | 78.6 |
| Better wage earnings | 20 | .6 | 15.3 | 93.9 |
| Contract obligations | 5 | .1 | 3.8 | 97.7 |
| Better amenities of life | 2 | .1 | 1.5 | 99.2 |
| $2 \& 3$ | 1 | .0 | .8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 131 | 3.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3322 | 96.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

During the past 3 years, the purpose of male member migration is mainly due to industrial labour (55.0\% respondents) and better wage ( $15.3 \%$ respondents).

Migrated Worker: Distance Migrated in Kms (female)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| within 50 Km | 4 | .1 | 12.1 | 12.1 |
| $50.1-100 \mathrm{Km}$ | 4 | .1 | 12.1 | 24.2 |
| $100.1-150 \mathrm{Km}$ | 2 | .1 | 6.1 | 30.3 |
| $150.1-200 \mathrm{Km}$ | 2 | .1 | 6.1 | 36.4 |
| $200.1-500 \mathrm{Km}$ | 3 | .1 | 9.1 | 45.5 |
| $500.1-1000 \mathrm{Km}$ | 1 | .0 | 3.0 | 48.5 |
| Above 1000 Km | 17 | .5 | 51.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 33 | 1.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3420 | 99.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

In the past 3 years, maximum number of female members migrate above 1000 Km ( $51.5 \%$ respondents) and within 100 Km ( $24.2 \%$ respondents).

Migrated Worker: Purpose of Migration (female)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daily wage earning (irregular) | 1 | .0 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Industrial labour (regular) | 17 | .5 | 47.2 | 50.0 |
| Employed in private organization | 5 | .1 | 13.9 | 63.9 |
| Trading/business activity | 3 | .1 | 72.2 |  |
| Marriage | 2 | .1 | 7.6 | 7.8 |
| Better wage earnings | 8 | .2 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 36 | 1.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3417 | 99.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

During the past 3 years, the purpose of female member migration is mainly due to industrial labour (57.2\% respondents) and better wage ( $22.2 \%$ respondents).

Saving of Migrated Worker

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 144 | 4.2 | 64.0 | 64.0 |
| No | 61 | 1.8 | 27.1 | 91.1 |
| Not aware | 20 | .6 | 8.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 225 | 6.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3228 | 93.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $64.0 \%$ respondents who migrate to other place could make savings while $27.1 \%$ respondents could not save their earning.

Effect of Migrated Workers on their Household

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 103 | 3.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| No | 101 | 2.9 | 46.1 | 93.2 |
| Not aware | 15 | .4 | 6.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 219 | 6.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3234 | 93.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $47.0 \%$ respondents who migrate to other place have effect on the households while $46.1 \%$ respondents do not and $6.8 \%$ respondents are not aware of it.

Effect of migration

| Effect of migration | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Helped in the construction of house | 14.23 |
| Purchase of land | 9.88 |
| Purchase of household assets | 18.58 |
| Improved quality of life | 26.09 |
| Better children education | 12.65 |
| Parents felt absence/neglect | 5.53 |
| Work load of members of household increased | 6.32 |
| Children education affected | 5.53 |
| Others | 1.19 |

Migration of family members to other place was mainly was of job related and to have better earning. The consequences of migration are improved quality of life ( $26.09 \%$ respondents), purchased of household assets ( $18.58 \%$ respondents), help in the construction of house ( $14.23 \%$ respondents) and better children education (12.65\% respondents).

## K. Disaster Risk Reduction

Experience of Flood

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 448 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| No | 2910 | 84.3 | 84.3 | 97.2 |
| Not aware | 95 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents only $13.0 \%$ respondents have experience flood or their flood prone area.
Year of Family Settlement in the Village

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aboriginal | 288 | 8.3 | 64.6 | 64.6 |
| Before 1971 | 101 | 2.9 | 22.6 | 87.2 |
| After 1971 | 43 | 1.2 | 9.6 | 96.9 |
| DK / NA | 14 | .4 | 3.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 446 | 12.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3007 | 87.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Among those families who have experience flood are mostly aboriginal ( $64.6 \%$ respondents) and settled before 1971 ( $22.6 \%$ respondents).

Source of Income not Affected by Flood

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flood resistant crop variety | 79 | 2.3 | 18.5 | 18.5 |
| Non Farm production based skills | 101 | 2.9 | 23.7 | 42.3 |
| NA | 246 | 7.1 | 57.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 426 | 12.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3027 | 87.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Among those families who have experience flood, $23.7 \%$ respondents depend on non farm production base skill, $18.5 \%$ respondents on flood resistant crop variety and $57.7 \%$ respondents depend on either of it for their family income.

Earning Member

|  | No.of Respondent (average) | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Earning Members in the Family | 2 | 97.3 |

Among those families who have experience flood, $97.3 \%$ respondents have in average at least two earning family members.

Changed of Cropping Pattern in Last 3 Years

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 135 | 3.9 | 30.4 | 30.4 |
| No | 305 | 8.8 | 68.7 | 99.1 |
| NA | 4 | .1 | .9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 444 | 12.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3009 | 87.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

During the past 3 years among the families who have experience flood, only $30.4 \%$ respondents have changed the cropping pattern while $68.7 \%$ respondents did not.

Cultivation of Flood Resistant Crop Variety

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 41 | 1.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| No | 396 | 11.5 | 89.8 | 99.1 |
| NA | 4 | .1 | .9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 441 | 12.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3012 | 87.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Among those families who have experience flood, only $9.3 \%$ respondents grow flood resistant crop variety while 89.8\% respondents had not.

Cultivation Fruit Trees for Commercial Purpose

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 113 | 3.3 | 24.2 | 24.2 |
| No | 350 | 10.1 | 75.1 | 99.4 |
| NA | 3 | .1 | .6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 466 | 13.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2987 | 86.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $24.2 \%$ respondents of flood experience families grow fruit trees for commercial purposes while $75.1 \%$ respondents of flood experience and non experience families who do not grow fruit trees.

Fruit Trees Grown for Commercial Purposes

| Fruit Trees | No.of Fruit Trees (Average) | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Banana Tree | 44 | 22.99 |
| Pineapple Plant | 160 | 5.36 |
| Papaya Tree | 5 | 17.19 |
| Guava Tree | 3 | 18.08 |
| Orange Tree | 11 | 3.35 |
| Litchi Tree | 3 | 15.63 |
| Jackfruit Tree | 4 | 22.54 |
| Mango Tree | 5 | 23.66 |
| Others | 225 | 6.03 |

Some of the fruits trees grown are the average of 5 mango trees each ( $23.66 \%$ respondents), 44 banana trees ( $22.99 \%$ respondents), 4 jackfruit trees ( $22.54 \%$ respondents) and 3 guava trees ( $18.08 \%$ respondents)

Sources of Fruit Tree Seedlings

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed bank | 2 | .1 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Self stock | 21 | .6 | 16.5 | 18.1 |
| Local market | 17 | .5 | 13.4 | 31.5 |
| Subsidized seeds from Govt. | 4 | .1 | 3.1 | 34.6 |
| From neighbour | 35 | 1.0 | 27.6 | 62.2 |
| Others | 3 | 1 | 2.4 | 64.6 |
| Local market \& From neighbour | 2 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 97.6 |
| Self stock \& Local market | 1 | .1 | 1.6 | 99.2 |
| Self stock \& from neighbour | 127 | .0 | .8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3326 | 3.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3453 | 96.3 |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 |  |  |  |

The $33.1 \%$ respondents get the seedling from local market and neighbour, $27.6 \%$ respondents get it from neighbour and $16.5 \%$ respondents from self stock.

Agriculture Crops Insurance

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 3 | .1 | .6 | .6 |
| No | 354 | 10.3 | 73.8 | 74.4 |
| Not aware | 123 | 3.6 | 25.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 480 | 13.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2973 | 86.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The village farmers are still lacking the knowledge on crop insurance and also facility far behind. 73.8\% respondents do not have crop insurance and $25.6 \%$ respondents are not ware of it.

Livestock Insurance

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 3 | .1 | .6 | .6 |
| No | 352 | 10.2 | 73.6 | 74.3 |
| Not aware | 123 | 3.6 | 25.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 478 | 13.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2975 | 86.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Livestock insurance is also very less known to the village farmers. $73.6 \%$ respondents do not have livestock insurance and $25.7 \%$ respondents are not ware of it.

Awareness on Safety Measures Skills for Flood, Earthquake etc

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 125 | 3.6 | 29.3 | 29.3 |
| No | 301 | 8.7 | 70.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 426 | 12.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3027 | 87.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the respondents who are affected by the hazards only $29.3 \%$ respondents are aware of the safety measure to it while $70.7 \%$ respondents are not aware of it.

Awareness on Health and Hygiene including ORS

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 305 | 8.8 | 65.5 | 65.5 |
| No | 161 | 4.7 | 34.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 466 | 13.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2987 | 86.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

With the intervention of NGO for community health and sanitation awareness to health and hygiene has improve at village. $65.5 \%$ respondents are aware on health and hygiene including ORS while $34.5 \%$ respondents are not aware of it.

## Hazards

| Man Made Hazards | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bomb blast | 20.31 |
| Ethenic clash | 25.67 |
| Coal mining | .22 |
| Industrial pollution | 38.84 |
| Others | 5.36 |


| Natural Hazards | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Flood | 94.42 |
| Earthquake | 16.52 |
| Landslide | 3.13 |
| Storm | 57.14 |
| Drought | 51.56 |
| Others | .45 |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, the highest number of respondents has experience flood (94.42\% respondents), storm ( $57.14 \%$ respondents), drought ( $51.56 \%$ respondents) and industrial pollution ( $38.84 \%$ respondents).

Coping Mechanics of Family Members

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swimming | 133 | 3.9 | 31.7 | 31.7 |
| Boat | 79 | 2.3 | 18.8 | 50.5 |
| Elevated platform for shelter | 101 | 2.9 | 24.0 | 74.5 |
| Swimming \& Boat | 87 | 2.5 | 20.7 | 95.2 |
| Swimming, Boat \& Elevated platform | 5 | .1 | 1.2 | 96.4 |
| No | 14 | .4 | 3.3 | 99.8 |
| Swimming \& Elevated platform | 1 | .0 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 420 | 12.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3033 | 87.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, some of the family members have some coping mechanics for the hazards like swimming ( $31.7 \%$ respondents), elevated platform for shelter ( $24.0 \%$ respondents), both swimming and boat (20.7\% respondents) and boat ( $18.8 \%$ respondents).

Measure Adopted to Reduce the Risks from Man made and Natural Hazards

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livestock insurance | 8 | .2 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Agriculture insurance | 7 | .2 | 1.6 | 3.5 |
| Life insurance | 34 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 11.3 |
| Asset insurance | 2 | .1 | .5 | 11.8 |
| Alternate cropping | 52 | 1.5 | 12.0 | 23.8 |
| DK NA | 276 | 8.0 | 63.9 | 87.7 |
| Livestock \& Agriculture insurance | 45 | 1.3 | 10.4 | 98.1 |
| Livestock \& Life insurance | 6 | .2 | 1.4 | 99.5 |
| No | 2 | .1 | .5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 432 | 12.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3021 | 87.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, $63.9 \%$ respondents has not adopted measures to reduce the risks from man made and natural hazards while $12.0 \%$ respondents adopt alternate cropping.

Access to Information on Disaster before, during and after the Hazards

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 107 | 3.1 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| No | 338 | 9.8 | 76.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 445 | 12.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3008 | 87.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, only $24.0 \%$ respondents have access to information on disaster before, during and after hazards while $76.0 \%$ respondents do have access to it.

Access to First Aid in Minor Accidents during and after the Emergency

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 89 | 2.6 | 20.1 | 20.1 |
| No | 353 | 10.2 | 79.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 442 | 12.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3011 | 87.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, only $20.1 \%$ respondents have access to first aid in minor accidents during and after emergency while $79.9 \%$ respondents do have access to it.

No.of Youth Male Know to Swim in Flood Water

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One person | 252 | 7.3 | 60.6 | 60.6 |
| Two persons | 90 | 2.6 | 21.6 | 82.2 |
| Three persons | 45 | 1.3 | 10.8 | 93.0 |
| Four persons | 2 | .1 | .5 | 93.5 |
| More than 5 persons | 2 | .1 | .5 | 94.0 |
| No one | 25 | .7 | 6.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 416 | 12.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3037 | 88.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, $60.6 \%$ respondents have atleast one male youth member in the family, 21.6 \% respondents have two male youth member in the family and $10.8 \%$ respondents have three male youth members in the family who know to swim in flood water.

No.of Youth Female Know to Swim in Flood Water

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One person | 236 | 6.8 | 61.1 | 61.1 |
| Two persons | 54 | 1.6 | 14.0 | 75.1 |
| Three persons | 17 | .5 | 4.4 | 79.5 |
| Four persons | 7 | .2 | 1.8 | 81.3 |
| Five persons | 1 | .0 | .3 | 81.6 |
| No one | 71 | 2.1 | 18.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 386 | 11.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3067 | 88.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, $61.1 \%$ respondents have atleast one female youth member in the family and $14.0 \%$ respondents have two female youth member in the family who know to swim in flood water while $18.4 \%$ respondents have no female youth in the family who can swim.

Contribution in Maintaining Public Infrastructure

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 318 | 9.2 | 71.6 | 71.6 |
| No | 126 | 3.6 | 28.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 444 | 12.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3009 | 87.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, $71.6 \%$ respondents contribute in maintaining the public infrastructure while $28.4 \%$ respondents do not.

Preservation of Food Items for Meeting the Emergencies/Floods

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 187 | 5.4 | 42.3 | 42.3 |
| No | 255 | 7.4 | 57.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 442 | 12.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3011 | 87.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, $42.3 \%$ respondents preserve food items to meet the emergencies or flood while $57.7 \%$ respondents do not.

Arrangement of Storage of Fuel for the Emergency Period

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 177 | 5.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| No | 264 | 7.6 | 59.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 441 | 12.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3012 | 87.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, only $40.1 \%$ respondents have arrangement for fuel for the emergency period while majority $59.9 \%$ respondents do not.

Family Members Drowned and Died in Flood Water

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 6 | .2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| No | 440 | 12.7 | 98.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 446 | 12.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3007 | 87.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, only $1.3 \%$ respondents have family member drowned and died in the flood while majority $98.7 \%$ respondents do not.

Duration of non engagement in Agriculture in a Year

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 months | 26 | .8 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| $2.1-3$ months | 101 | 2.9 | 26.8 | 33.7 |
| 3.1-4 months | 110 | 3.2 | 29.2 | 62.9 |
| 4.1-6 months | 140 | 4.1 | 37.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 377 | 10.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3076 | 89.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, $37.1 \%$ respondents are not engaged in agriculture for 4-6 months a year, $29.2 \%$ respondents for 3-4 months a year and $26.8 \%$ respondents for 2-3 months a year.

Subsidiary Occupation Income through NREGA or any Other Govt. Supported Programs

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 247 | 7.2 | 53.5 | 53.5 |
| No | 215 | 6.2 | 46.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 462 | 13.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2991 | 86.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |


| Approximate Subsidiary Occupation Income in Last One Year | Appx. Annual Average Income (Rs.) | No.of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Approximate Subsidiary Occupation Income | 3652 | 247 |
| Engagement in NREGA / Govt. supported program | No.of Man Day (average) | No.of Respondent |
| No.of man days engaged | 36 | 247 |

$53.5 \%$ respondents get extra income from subsidiary occupation income through NREGA or any other government supported programs and $46.5 \%$ respondents do not. An approximate annual subsidiary income in a year is Rs. 3652 for each 247 respondents. Average of 36 man days are engaged in NREGA or Government supported program.

## L. Community Health

Type of House

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thatched house with mud wall | 722 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| Mud house with tin roof | 1019 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 50.4 |
| Wooden house with tin roof | 1065 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 81.3 |
| Concrete house with tin roof | 587 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 98.3 |
| Others | 21 | .6 | .6 | 98.9 |
| NA | 31 | .9 | .9 | 99.8 |
| Concrete house | 8 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Most common type of houses found in the village is wooden house with tin roof ( $30.8 \%$ respondents), mud house with tin roof ( $29.5 \%$ respondents), thatched house with mud wall ( $20.9 \%$ respondents) and concrete house with tin roof ( $17.0 \%$ respondents).

Condition of the House

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | 1406 | 40.7 | 40.7 | 40.7 |
| Livable | 1892 | 54.8 | 54.8 | 95.5 |
| Dilapidate | 155 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

More than half of the 3453 total respondents, $54.8 \%$ respondents houses are livable, $40.7 \%$ respondents houses are good condition and $4.5 \%$ respondents houses are dilapidate.

Ownership of PDS Card (Ration Card)

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 1964 | 56.9 | 56.9 | 56.9 |
| No | 1489 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

More than half of the 3453 total respondents, $56.9 \%$ respondents have PDS Card or Ration Card while $43.1 \%$ respondents do not.

Main Source of Lighting: Electricity

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electricity | 1762 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 |
| Kerosene oil | 1570 | 45.5 | 45.5 | 96.5 |
| Solar Lamp | 31 | .9 | .9 | 97.4 |
| Others | 5 | .1 | .1 | 97.5 |
| Electricity \& Kerosene oil | 77 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 99.8 |
| Electricity \& Solar lamp | 1 | .0 | .0 | 99.8 |
| Kerosene oil \& Solar lamp | 7 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $51.0 \%$ respondents use electricity and $45.5 \%$ respondents use kerosene oil as main source of lighting.

Defecate

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In open fields | 720 | 20.9 | 20.9 | 20.9 |
| Near the house | 73 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 23.0 |
| Kuccha toilet | 2048 | 59.3 | 59.3 | 82.3 |
| Pucca toilet | 609 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 99.9 |
| Other | 3 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $59.3 \%$ respondents use kuccha toilet and $17.6 \%$ respondents use pucca toilet while still $20.9 \%$ respondents use open fields for defecation.

Nature of Drainage System

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open | 1217 | 35.2 | 35.2 | 35.2 |
| Closed | 476 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 49.0 |
| No drainage system | 1752 | 50.7 | 50.7 | 99.8 |
| Other | 8 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $50.7 \%$ respondents have no drainage system while $35.2 \%$ respondents have open and $13.8 \%$ respondents have closed drainage system.

Source of Drinking Water being Fetched

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open well | 1607 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 46.5 |
| Closed well | 223 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 53.0 |
| Hand pump or tube/bore well | 781 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 75.6 |
| River or Stream | 376 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 86.5 |
| Tap water | 459 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 99.8 |
| Other | 7 | .2 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In village open well (46.5\% respondents) and hand pump or tube or bore well (22.6\% respondents) are the most common source of fetching drinking water. $13.3 \%$ respondents fetch drinking water from tap water and $10.9 \%$ respondents from river or stream.

Distance from source of drinking water being fetched

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Within homestead | 2609 | 75.6 | 75.6 | 75.6 |
| Less than one Km | 780 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 98.1 |
| $1.1-2$ Kms away | 44 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 99.4 |
| More than 2 Kms away | 20 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In village almost every house hold has tube or open well and stream or river near to their settlement. $75.6 \%$ respondents have their source of drinking water being within homestead and $22.6 \%$ respondents within one kilometer.

Using Purified Drinking Water

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do not purified | 1340 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 38.8 |
| Boiling | 1066 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 69.7 |
| Filtering | 746 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 91.3 |
| Boiling and filtering | 283 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 99.5 |
| Use of bleach | 14 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 99.9 |
| Other | 4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In village $38.8 \%$ respondents still do not purified their drinking water while $30.9 \%$ respondents use boiled drinking water, $21.6 \%$ respondents use filtered drinking water and $8.2 \%$ respondents use both boiled and filtered drinking water.

## Nutrition

No.of Full Meal per Day

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One full meal a day | 24 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| Two full meals a day | 1662 | 48.1 | 48.1 | 48.8 |
| Three full meals a day | 1498 | 43.4 | 43.4 | 92.2 |
| Four full meals a day | 268 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 100.0 |
| Others | 1 | .0 | .0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Taking two full meals a day (48.1\% respondents) and three full meals a day (43.4\% respondents) are most usual cases existing in the village.

Shortage of Food in Last 3 Years

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 950 | 27.5 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| No | 2503 | 72.5 | 72.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

In the last three year food shortage was experience by $27.5 \%$ respondents out of 3453 total respondents while $72.5 \%$ respondents were safe from it.

Shortage of Food in an Average Duration in a Year

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than one month | 185 | 5.4 | 19.5 | 19.5 |
| $1.1-4$ months | 450 | 13.0 | 47.4 | 66.8 |
| $4.1-7$ months | 177 | 5.1 | 18.6 | 85.5 |
| $7.1-10$ months | 103 | 3.0 | 10.8 | 96.3 |
| $10.1-12$ months | 35 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 950 | 27.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2503 | 72.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

In the last three years $66.9 \%$ respondents had experience food shortage in average duration of less than four months a year while $33.1 \%$ respondents experienced for more than four months a year.

## Child Immunization Details

| Children below 5 years Received Polio |
| :--- |
| Polio Doze |
| Polio 1 Doze |
| Polio 2 Dozes |
| Polio 3 Dozes |

The $57.72 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have children below five years received polio while the rest respondents have no children or not applicable.

Children below 5 years Received DPT

| DPT Doze | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| DPT 1 Doze | 2.55 |
| DPT 2 Dozes | 7.50 |
| DPT 3 Dozes | 46.39 |

The $56.44 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have children below five years received DPT while the rest respondents have no children or not applicable.

Children below 5 years Received BCG Immunization

| BCG Immunisation | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Received BCG Immunisation | 51.69 |
| Not Received BCG Immunisation | 1.91 |

The $51.69 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have children below five years received BCG immunization while $1.91 \%$ respondents have children who have not received it and the rest of the respondents have no children or not applicable.

Children Received Vitamin A

| Vitamin A | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Received Vit.A Liquid | 49.78 |
| Not Received Vit.A Liquid | 3.59 |

The $49.78 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have children who received BCG immunization while $3.59 \%$ respondents have children who have not received it and the rest of the respondents have no children or not applicable.

Children Received Measles Injection

| Measles Injection | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Received Measles Injection | 46.25 |
| Not Received Measles Injection | 3.62 |

The $46.25 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have children who received measles injection while 3.59\% respondents have children who have not received it and the rest of the respondents have no children or not applicable.

Children Received IFA Tablets/Liquid

| IFA Tablets/Liquid | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Received IFA Tablets/Liquid | 41.76 |
| Not Receive IFA Tablets/Liquid | 3.53 |

The $41.76 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have children who received IFA tablets or liquid while 3.53\% respondents have children who have not received it and the rest of the respondents have no children or not applicable.

| Reason of Not Immunizing the Child | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Facility not available | 7.88 |
| Not needed | .99 |
| Not aware of | 11.99 |
| No time to go | 2.96 |
| Child was ill at the time the injection was due | 8.37 |
| Others | .33 |
| Not Applicable | 67.32 |
| Not yet legible for the immunization | .16 |

Some of the main reason for not immunizing the child may be not applicable ( $67.32 \%$ respondents), Not aware of ( $11.99 \%$ respondents), child was ill at the time of injection was due ( $8.37 \%$ respondents) and facility not available ( $7.88 \%$ respondents).

Children Attending ICDS Centre

|  | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| No.of Children of the Family Attending ICDS Center | 38.49 |

The $38.49 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have children who attain ICDS Centre while the rest of the respondents have no children or not applicable.

Death of Child below 10 Years in the Family

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 209 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| No | 3244 | 93.9 | 93.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The $6.1 \%$ respondents out of total respondents have death of child below ten years in the family.
Cause of Death of Child below 10 Years

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acute respiratory infection | 48 | 1.4 | 23.5 | 23.5 |
| Pneumonia | 35 | 1.0 | 17.2 | 40.7 |
| Diarrhea | 33 | 1.0 | 16.2 | 56.9 |
| Jaundice | 34 | 1.0 | 16.7 | 73.5 |
| Dysentery | 16 | .5 | 7.8 | 81.4 |
| Others | 20 | .6 | 9.8 | 91.2 |
| Accident | 4 | .1 | 2.0 | 93.1 |
| Bitten by dog | 1 | .0 | .5 | 93.6 |
| Malaria | 6 | .2 | 2.9 | 96.6 |
| Death inside worm | 5 | .1 | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| Pneumonia \& Diarrhea | 2 | .1 | 1.0 |  |
| Total | 204 | 5.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3249 | 94.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Some of the major causes of death for the child below ten years in the family are acute respiratory infection ( $23.5 \%$ respondents), pneumonia ( $17.2 \%$ respondents), jaundice ( $16.7 \%$ respondents), diarrhea ( $16.2 \%$ respondents) and dysentery (7.8\% respondents).

Age of the Child at the Time of Death

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Within one day after birth | 33 | 1.0 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| Within 7 days after birth | 16 | .5 | 7.9 | 24.3 |
| Within 28 days after birth | 20 | 6 | 9.9 | 34.2 |
| Within one year | 44 | 1.3 | 21.8 | 55.9 |
| $1.1-5$ years | 60 | 1.7 | 29.7 | 85.6 |
| 5.1-10 years | 29 | .8 | 14.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 202 | 5.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3251 | 94.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Ages of the child below ten years at the time of death are maximum in 1-5 years (29.7\% respondents), within one year ( $17.2 \%$ respondents), within one day after birth ( $16.3 \%$ respondents) and between $5-10$ years ( $14.4 \%$ respondents).

Treatment Received by the Child Before Death

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yes | 157 | 4.5 | 75.1 | 75.1 |
| no | 52 | 1.5 | 24.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 209 | 6.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3244 | 93.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $75.1 \%$ respondents out of total respondents who have death of child below ten years in the family had given treatment before the child death while $24.9 \%$ respondents did not.

Reason of No Treatment before Death of Child

| Reasons | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Not necessary | 3.45 |
| Not customary | 1.72 |
| Cost too much | 12.07 |
| No time to go | 24.14 |
| Fear of injection | 1.72 |
| Too far/No transport | 10.34 |
| Family care/safe at home | 1.72 |
| Lack of knowledge | 12.07 |
| Poor quality service of health centre | 18.97 |
| Depended on kobiraj | 6.90 |
| Others | 6.90 |

Some of the reasons of not giving treatment before the death of child below ten years in the family are no time to go for treatment ( $24.14 \%$ respondents), poor quality service of health centre ( $18.97 \%$ respondents), cost too much and lack of knowledge (12.07\% respondents respectively) and too far or no transport (10.34\% respondents).

## Care During Pregnancy

Antenatal Check Up during Pregnancy

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Did not get check up | 377 | 10.9 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Sub centre | 401 | 11.6 | 16.0 | 30.9 |
| PHC/CHC | 765 | 22.2 | 30.4 | 61.4 |
| Hospital | 862 | 25.0 | 34.3 | 95.7 |
| Private doctor | 78 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 98.8 |
| NA | 22 | .6 | .9 | 99.6 |
| Other | 6 | .2 | .2 | 99.9 |
| Dispensary | 3 | .1 | 100.0 |  |
| Total | 2514 | 72.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 939 | 27.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Antenatal check up during pregnant is prefer mostly at hospital (34.3\% pregnant women), PHC/CHC (30.4pregnant women) and Sub centre ( $16.0 \%$ pregnant women). $15.9 \%$ pregnant women who did not go for antenatal checkup up.

TT Injection during Pregnancy

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Did not receive at all | 432 | 12.5 | 17.2 | 17.2 |
| Home made medicine | 33 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 18.5 |
| Took one doze of TT | 425 | 12.3 | 16.9 | 35.4 |
| Took two doze of TT | 1224 | 47.0 | 64.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2514 | 72.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 939 | 27.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The $64.6 \%$ pregnant women received two dozes of TT injection and $16.9 \%$ pregnant women received one doze of TT injection. $17.2 \%$ pregnant women did not receive at all.

Place of Delivery

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hospital | 692 | 20.0 | 27.5 | 27.5 |
| PHC / CHC | 399 | 11.6 | 15.9 | 43.4 |
| Home | 1406 | 40.7 | 55.9 | 99.3 |
| Private clinic | 17 | .5 | .7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 2514 | 72.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 939 | 27.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

In village due the inconvenient situation to visit nearest health centre immediate for delivery many pregnant women deliver at home ( $55.9 \%$ deliveries). $27.5 \%$ respondents who deliveries at hospital and $15.9 \%$ respondents at PHC / CHC.

Person Conducted Delivery

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doctor | 778 | 22.5 | 30.9 | 30.9 |
| Nurse | 414 | 12.0 | 16.5 | 47.4 |
| Trained birth attendant | 541 | 15.7 | 21.5 | 68.9 |
| Untrained birth attendant | 778 | 22.5 | 30.9 | .1 |
| Self | 3 | .1 | 100.9 |  |
| Total | 2514 | 72.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 939 | 27.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

The 30.9\% respondents had delivery conducted by doctor and untrained birth attendant respectively, 21.5\% respondents by trained birth attendant and $16.5 \% 5$ respondents by nurse.

## Maternal Mortality Information

No.of Women Died during Pregnancy/Child Birth /Within One Year of Childbirth

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No.of Death Women | 23 | .7 | .7 | .7 |
| NA | 3430 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of 3453 Total respondents $0.7 \%$ respondents have women who died during pregnancy or child birth or within one year of child birth.

Kind of Treatment Given to the Women before Death

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No treatment given | 3 | .1 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Hospital | 12 | .3 | 52.2 | 65.2 |
| Kobiraj | 5 | .1 | 21.7 | 87.0 |
| Private doctor | 3 | .1 | 13.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 23 | .7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3430 | 99.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Before the death of women during pregnancy or child birth or within one year of childbirth, $52.2 \%$ respondents had given treatment at hospital, $21.7 \%$ respondents treated by kobiraj and $13.0 \%$ respondents treated by private doctor while $13.0 \%$ respondents with no treatment.

Women not Treated: Reason

| Reasons | No.of Respondent |
| :--- | :---: |
| Not necessary | 0 |
| Not customary | 1 |
| Cost too much | 0 |
| No time to go | 1 |
| Fear of injection | 0 |
| Too far/No transport | 1 |
| Family care/safe at home | 0 |
| Lack of knowledge | 0 |
| Poor quality service of health centre | 1 |
| Others | 2 |

Some of the reasons for not taking treatment are not customary, no time to go, too far or transport cost and poor service of health centre.

## Diseases and their Treatment

Household members suffer from Diarrhea in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 19.95 |
| Adult Women | 16.77 |
| Male Child | 13.41 |
| Female Child | 13.32 |
| Male Infant Suffer | 2.17 |
| Female Infant Suffer | 1.13 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $19.95 \%$ respondents and $16.77 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer diarrhea.

Household members suffer from Malaria in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 33.77 |
| Adult Women | 29.16 |
| Male Child | 17.46 |
| Female Child | 15.41 |
| Male Infant Suffer | 1.53 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .84 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $33.77 \%$ respondents and $29.16 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer malaria.

## Household members suffer from Rickets in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 2.17 |
| Adult Women | 1.77 |
| Male Child | .46 |
| Female Child | .26 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .03 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .00 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, only $2.17 \%$ respondents and $1.77 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer rickets.

## Household members suffer from TB in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 1.71 |
| Adult Women | .84 |
| Male Child | .20 |
| Female Child | .06 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .00 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .00 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $1.71 \%$ respondents have adult men in the family who suffer TB.

## Household members suffer from Flu in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 22.99 |
| Adult Women | 25.72 |
| Male Child | 16.74 |
| Female Child | 17.29 |
| Male Infant Suffer | 2.43 |
| Female Infant Suffer | 1.74 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $22.99 \%$ respondents and $25.72 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer flu.

## Household members suffer from Jaundice in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 7.07 |
| Adult Women | 6.43 |
| Male Child | 6.20 |
| Female Child | 5.44 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .58 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .20 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $7.07 \%$ respondents and $6.43 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer jaundice.

## Household members suffer from Anemia in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 1.01 |
| Adult Women | 3.42 |
| Male Child | .43 |
| Female Child | .41 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .17 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .00 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $1.01 \%$ respondents and $3.42 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer anemia.

Household members suffer from Gastric in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 21.29 |
| Adult Women | 24.88 |
| Male Child | 4.72 |
| Female Child | 3.71 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .26 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .06 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $21.29 \%$ respondents and $24.88 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer gastric.

Household members suffer from Eye Inflammation in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 3.24 |
| Adult Women | 4.63 |
| Male Child | 1.04 |
| Female Child | 1.13 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .17 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .06 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $3.24 \%$ respondents and $4.63 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer eye inflammation.

Household members suffer from Pneumonia in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 2.03 |
| Adult Women | 2.35 |
| Male Child | 1.48 |
| Female Child | 1.82 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .52 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .17 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $2.03 \%$ respondents and $2.35 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer pneumonia.

Household members suffer from Diabetes in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | .55 |
| Adult Women | .43 |
| Male Child | .09 |
| Female Child | .03 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .00 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .03 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $0.55 \%$ respondents and $0.43 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer diabetes.

Household members suffer from Acute Respiratory Infection in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 1.07 |
| Adult Women | 1.27 |
| Male Child | .52 |
| Female Child | .41 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .03 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .00 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $1.07 \%$ respondents and $1.27 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer acute respiratory infection.

Household members suffer from Skin Disease in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | 2.49 |
| Adult Women | 4.92 |
| Male Child | 2.32 |
| Female Child | 3.13 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .26 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .17 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $2.49 \%$ respondents and $4.92 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer skin diseases. $2.32 \%$ respondents and $3.13 \%$ respondents have male child and female child respectively in the family who suffer skin disease.

## Household members suffer from Asthma in Last 12 Months

| Category | No.of Respondent (\%) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adult Men | .70 |
| Adult Women | .93 |
| Male Child | .52 |
| Female Child | .61 |
| Male Infant Suffer | .26 |
| Female Infant Suffer | .09 |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $0.70 \%$ respondents and $0.93 \%$ respondents have adult men and women respectively in the family who suffer asthma.

Treatment Center for Diarrhea

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 116 | 3.4 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| Private doctor | 271 | 7.8 | 21.5 | 30.7 |
| Pharmacy | 331 | 9.6 | 26.3 | 57.0 |
| Hospital | 497 | 14.4 | 39.5 | 96.5 |
| Home made treatment | 32 | .9 | 2.5 | 99.0 |
| No treatment | 2 | .1 | .2 | 99.2 |
| PHC | 4 | .1 | .3 | 99.5 |
| Dispensary | 3 | .1 | .2 | 99.8 |
| Health Worker | 2 | .1 | .2 | 99.9 |
| Sub Centre | 1 | .0 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1259 | 36.5 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2194 | 63.5 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have diarrhea patient in the family, $39.5 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital, $26.3 \%$ respondents at pharmacy and $21.5 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for Malaria

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 57 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Private doctor | 453 | 13.1 | 23.3 | 26.3 |
| Pharmacy | 476 | 13.8 | 24.5 | 50.8 |
| Hospital | 934 | 27.0 | 48.1 | 98.9 |
| Home made treatment | 7 | .2 | .4 | 99.2 |
| No treatment | 3 | .1 | .2 | 99.4 |
| Others | 1 | .0 | .1 | 99.4 |
| PHC | 4 | .1 | .2 | 99.6 |
| Dispensary | 4 | .1 | .2 | 99.8 |
| Health Worker | 2 | .1 | .1 | 99.9 |
| Sub Centre | 1 | .0 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1942 | 56.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1511 | 43.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have malaria patient in the family, $48.1 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital, $24.5 \%$ respondents at pharmacy and $23.3 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for Rickets

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 16 | .5 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Private doctor | 30 | .9 | 20.5 | 31.5 |
| Pharmacy | 65 | 1.9 | 44.5 | 76.0 |
| Hospital | 34 | 1.0 | 23.3 | 99.3 |
| Home made treatment | 1 | .0 | .7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 146 | 4.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3307 | 95.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have rickets in the family, $44.5 \%$ respondents take treatment at pharmacy, 23.3\% respondents at hospital and $20.5 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for TB

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 2 | .1 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Private doctor | 6 | .2 | 6.6 | 8.8 |
| Pharmacy | 6 | .2 | 6.6 | 15.4 |
| Hospital | 73 | 2.1 | 80.2 | 95.6 |
| Home made treatment | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 96.7 |
| No treatment | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 97.8 |
| Others | 2 | .1 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 91 | 2.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3362 | 97.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have TB patient in the family, $80.2 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital and $6.6 \%$ respondents at pharmacy and private doctor clinic respectively.

Treatment Center for Flu

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 68 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Private doctor | 329 | 9.5 | 21.9 | 26.5 |
| Pharmacy | 572 | 16.6 | 38.2 | 64.6 |
| Hospital | 516 | 14.9 | 34.4 | 99.1 |
| Home made treatment | 7 | .2 | .5 | 99.5 |
| No treatment | 3 | .1 | .2 | 99.7 |
| PHC | 2 | .1 | .1 | 99.9 |
| Dispensary | 1 | .0 | .1 | 99.9 |
| Sub Centre | 1 | .0 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1499 | 43.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 1954 | 56.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have flu in the family, $34.4 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital, $38.2 \%$ respondents at pharmacy and $21.9 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for Jaundice

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 531 | 15.4 | 76.7 | 76.7 |
| Private doctor | 33 | 1.0 | 4.8 | 81.5 |
| Pharmacy | 22 | .6 | 3.2 | 84.7 |
| Hospital | 61 | 1.8 | 8.8 | 93.5 |
| Home made treatment | 43 | 1.2 | 6.2 | 99.7 |
| No treatment | 2 | .1 | .3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 692 | 20.0 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2761 | 80.0 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have jaundice in the family, $76.7 \%$ respondents take prefer going to kobiraj for treatment and only $8.8 \%$ respondents go to hospital.

Treatment Center for Anemia

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 15 | .4 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| Private doctor | 19 | .6 | 12.5 | 22.4 |
| Pharmacy | 16 | .5 | 10.5 | 32.9 |
| Hospital | 80 | 2.3 | 52.6 | 85.5 |
| Home made treatment | 20 | .6 | 13.2 | 98.7 |
| No treatment | 1 | .0 | .7 | 99.3 |
| PHC | 1 | .0 | .7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 152 | 4.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3301 | 95.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have anemia in the family, $52.6 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital, $10.5 \%$ respondents at pharmacy and $12.5 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic while $13.2 \%$ respondents take home treatment.

Treatment Center for Gastric

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 100 | 2.9 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Private doctor | 320 | 9.3 | 25.6 | 33.6 |
| Pharmacy | 396 | 11.5 | 31.7 | 65.3 |
| Hospital | 404 | 11.7 | 32.3 | 97.7 |
| Home made treatment | 13 | .4 | 1.0 | 98.7 |
| No treatment | 12 | .3 | 1.0 | 99.7 |
| Others | 1 | .0 | .1 | 99.8 |
| PHC | 1 | .0 | .1 | 99.8 |
| Dispensary | 2 | .1 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 1249 | 36.2 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2204 | 63.8 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have gastric in the family, $32.3 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital, 31.7\% respondents at pharmacy and $25.6 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for Eye Inflammation Infection

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 24 | .7 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
| Private doctor | 85 | 2.5 | 30.4 | 38.9 |
| Pharmacy | 26 | .8 | 9.3 | 48.2 |
| Hospital | 115 | 3.3 | 41.1 | 89.3 |
| Home made treatment | 4 | .1 | 1.4 | 90.7 |
| No treatment | 25 | .7 | 8.9 | 99.6 |
| Others | 1 | .0 | .4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 280 | 8.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3173 | 91.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have eye inflammation infection in the family, $41.1 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital and $30.4 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for Pneumonia

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 20 | .6 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| Private doctor | 75 | 2.2 | 33.0 | 41.9 |
| Pharmacy | 31 | .9 | 13.7 | 55.5 |
| Hospital | 96 | 2.8 | 42.3 | 97.8 |
| Home made treatment | 4 | .1 | 1.8 | 99.6 |
| No treatment | 1 | .0 | .4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 227 | 6.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3226 | 93.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have pneumonia in the family, $42.3 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital and $33.0 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for Diabetes

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 4 | .1 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| Private doctor | 7 | .2 | 17.9 | 28.2 |
| Pharmacy | 3 | .1 | 7.7 | 35.9 |
| Hospital | 23 | .7 | 59.0 | 94.9 |
| Home made treatment | 2 | .1 | 5.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 39 | 1.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3414 | 98.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have diabetes in the family, 59.0\% respondents take treatment at hospital, 17.9\% respondents at private doctor clinic and $10.3 \%$ respondents go to kobiraj.

Treatment Center for Acute Respiratory Infection

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 4 | .1 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Private doctor | 9 | .3 | 11.3 | 16.3 |
| Pharmacy | 12 | .3 | 15.0 | 31.3 |
| Hospital | 52 | 1.5 | 65.0 | 96.3 |
| No treatment | 3 | .1 | 3.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 80 | 2.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3373 | 97.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have acute respiratory infection in the family, $65.0 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital, $17.9 \%$ respondents at pharmacy and $10.3 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Treatment Center for Skin disease

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 37 | 1.1 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| Private doctor | 144 | 4.2 | 38.5 | 48.4 |
| Pharmacy | 76 | 2.2 | 20.3 | 68.7 |
| Hospital | 86 | 2.5 | 23.0 | 91.7 |
| Home made treatment | 19 | .6 | 5.1 | 96.8 |
| No treatment | 11 | .3 | 2.9 | 99.7 |
| Health Worker | 1 | .0 | .3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 374 | 10.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3079 | 89.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have skin diseases in the family, $38.5 \%$ respondents take treatment at private doctor clinic, $23.0 \%$ respondents at hospital and $20.3 \%$ respondents at pharmacy.

Treatment Center for Asthma

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobiraj | 7 | .2 | 7.9 | 7.9 |
| Private doctor | 14 | .4 | 15.7 | 23.6 |
| Pharmacy | 20 | .6 | 22.5 | 46.1 |
| Hospital | 41 | 1.2 | 46.1 | 92.1 |
| Home made treatment | 4 | .1 | 4.5 | 96.6 |
| No treatment | 2 | .1 | 2.2 | 98.9 |
| Dispensary | 1 | .0 | 1.1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 89 | 2.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3364 | 97.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have asthma in the family, $46.1 \%$ respondents take treatment at hospital, $22.5 \%$ respondents at pharmacy and $15.7 \%$ respondents at private doctor clinic.

Outcome of the Malaria Treatment on the Infected Person during Last One Year

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fully recovered | 1027 | 29.7 | 33.2 | 33.2 |
| Has not recovered fully | 1029 | 29.8 | 33.2 | 66.4 |
| Died | 18 | .5 | .6 | 67.0 |
| NA (Not Applicable) | 1021 | 29.6 | 33.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3095 | 89.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 358 | 10.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of total respondents who have malaria in the family and treatment in last one year, $33.2 \%$ respondents have patients fully recovered and $33.2 \%$ respondents have not recovered fully.

Knowledge about Rickets: Causes, Symptoms, Effects

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good knowledge | 124 | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Knows somewhat | 1361 | 39.4 | 41.4 | 45.2 |
| Does not know at all | 1799 | 52.1 | 54.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3284 | 95.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 169 | 4.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $54.8 \%$ respondents does not know at all about causes, symptoms, effects of rickets while $41.4 \%$ respondents know somewhat and only $3.8 \%$ respondents have good knowledge of it.

## Breastfeeding Baby

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Did not breast fed | 41 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Less than 3 months | 33 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 2.4 |
| 3.1-6 months | 199 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 8.7 |
| 6.1-12 months | 690 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 30.7 |
| More than a year | 1571 | 45.5 | 50.0 | 80.7 |
| NA | 606 | 17.5 | 19.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3140 | 90.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 313 | 9.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

$50.0 \%$ respondents have mother who breastfeed baby for more than a year, $22.0 \%$ respondents for 6-12 months and 5.8\% respondents for 3-6 months.

Treatment to TB Patient

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 75 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| No | 13 | .4 | .4 | 2.5 |
| NA | 3365 | 97.5 | 97.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of 3453 total respondents, $2.2 \%$ respondents have TB patients who are getting treated.

## Duration under TB Treatment

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-6$ months | 20 | .6 | 30.8 | 30.8 |
| $6.1-9$ months | 15 | .4 | 23.1 | 53.8 |
| $9.1-12$ months | 16 | .5 | 24.6 | 78.5 |
| $12.1-15$ months | 3 | .1 | 4.6 | 83.1 |
| $15.1-18$ months | 2 | .1 | 3.1 | 86.2 |
| $18.1-24$ months | 2 | .1 | 3.1 | 89.2 |
| Above 2 years | 7 | .2 | 10.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 65 | 1.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3388 | 98.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

$30.8 \%$ respondents who have TB patients are treated for $0-6$ months, $24.6 \%$ respondents for $9-12$ months and 23.1\% respondents for 6-9 months.

Present Status of TB Treatment

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continuing | 19 | .6 | 28.4 | 28.4 |
| Discontinued | 4 | .1 | 6.0 | 34.3 |
| Completed | 44 | 1.3 | 65.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 67 | 1.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3386 | 98.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the total respondents who have TB patients treated in the family, $65.7 \%$ respondents have completed the treatment, $28.4 \%$ respondents are continuing while $6.0 \%$ respondents has discontinued.

Aware on DOTs Programme

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 610 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 17.7 |
| No | 2843 | 82.3 | 82.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $17.7 \%$ respondents are aware of the DOTs programme while $82.3 \%$ respondents are still lacking the knowledge on DOTs programme.

Death of Family Member during Last 5 Years

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 221 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| No | 3232 | 93.6 | 93.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $6.4 \%$ respondents had face death of family member in the last 5 years while $93.6 \%$ respondents do not.

## Women Related sickness Symptom

| Women Related sickness Symptom |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Foul Smell, Unusual Vaginal Discharge | Yes | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| (Discharge could be White, Yellow/Green) | No | 264 | $11.9 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1960 | $88.1 \%$ |
| Pain during Intercourse Especially in the | Yes | $\mathbf{2 2 2 4}$ |  |
| Lower Abdomen | No | 234 | $10.5 \%$ |
|  | Total | 1987 | $89.5 \%$ |
| Genital Sores or Blisters with or without Pain | Yes | $\mathbf{2 2 2 1}$ |  |
|  | No | 155 | $7.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2059 | $93.0 \%$ |
| Swollen and Painful Lymph Glands in the | Yes | $\mathbf{2 2 1 4}$ |  |
| Groin | No | 142 | $6.4 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2075 | $93.6 \%$ |
| Pain or Burning during Urination | Yes | $\mathbf{2 2 1 7}$ | $10.9 \%$ |
|  | No | 243 | 1984 |
| Painful or Itching Genital Region | Total | $\mathbf{2 2 2 7}$ | $89.1 \%$ |
|  | Yes | 182 | $8.2 \%$ |
|  | No | 2026 | $91.8 \%$ |

Women Seek for treatment

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 474 | 13.7 | 71.2 | 71.2 |
| No | 192 | 5.6 | 28.8 | 100.0 |
| Total | 666 | 19.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 2787 | 80.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Where women seek for treatment

| Where women seek for treatment | No.of Respondent | In \% |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Government Hospital | Yes | 189 | $96.4 \%$ |
|  | No | 7 | $3.6 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 9 6}$ |  |
| PHC/CHC | Yes | 169 | $96.0 \%$ |
|  | No | 7 | $4.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 7 6}$ |  |
| Government Dispensary | Yes | 79 | $94.0 \%$ |
|  | No | 5 | $6.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{8 4}$ |  |
|  | Yes | 38 | $86.4 \%$ |
| Sub-Centre | No | 6 | $13.6 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |  |
|  | Yes | 234 | $99.2 \%$ |
| Pvt.Doctor/ Pvt.Hospital | No | 2 | $.8 \%$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 3 6}$ |  |
|  | Yes | 6 | $92.8 \%$ |
| Quack/Village Doctor | Yes | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $7.2 \%$ |
|  | No | 18 |  |
|  | Total | 2 | $90.0 \%$ |
| Others | Yes | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $10.0 \%$ |
|  | No |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |

In village, personal health related issues are not discussed openly. $71.2 \%$ respondents have women who seek treatment. The women visit mostly private doctors or private hospital, government hospital and PHC or CHC.
Men Related sickness Symptom

| Men Related sickness Symptom |  | No.of Respondent | In $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sores, Blisters or Ulcers on the Penis with | Yes | 123 | $6.0 \%$ |
| or without Pain | No | 1921 | $94.0 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2044 |  |
| Swollen and Painful Lymph Glands in the | Yes | 151 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Groin | No | 1899 | $92.6 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2050 |  |
| Discharge from the Urethra | Yes | 77 | $3.8 \%$ |
| (Yellow/Green) | No | 1968 | $96.2 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2045 |  |
| Itching, Burning and Pain during | Yes | 130 | $6.3 \%$ |
| Urination | No | 1924 | $93.7 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2054 |  |
| Pain during Intercourse | Yes | 119 | $5.8 \%$ |
|  | No | 1928 | $94.2 \%$ |

Men Seek for treatment

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 249 | 7.2 | 69.7 | 69.7 |
| No | 108 | 3.1 | 30.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 357 | 10.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 3096 | 89.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Where men seek for treatment

| Where men seek for treatment |  | No.of Respondent | In \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Government Hospital | Yes | 109 | 98.2\% |
|  | No | 2 | 1.8\% |
|  | Total | 111 |  |
| PHC/CHC | Yes | 94 | 96.9\% |
|  | No | 3 | 3.1\% |
|  | Total | 97 |  |
| Government Dispensary | Yes | 34 | 94.4\% |
|  | No | 2 | 5.6\% |
|  | Total | 36 |  |
| Sub-Centre | Yes | 17 | 89.5\% |
|  | No | 2 | 10.5\% |
|  | Total | 19 |  |
| Pvt.Doctor/ Pvt.Hospital | Yes | 135 | 99.3\% |
|  | No | 1 | .7\% |
|  | Total | 136 |  |
| Quack/Village Doctor | Yes | 40 | 97.6\% |
|  | No | 1 | 2.4\% |
|  | Total | 41 |  |
| Others | Yes | 5 | 62.5\% |
|  | No | 3 | 37.5\% |
|  | Total | 8 |  |

In village, personal health related issues are not discussed openly. $69.7 \%$ respondents have men who seek treatment. The men visit mostly private doctors or private hospital, government hospital and PHC or CHC.

Health Infrastructure: Nearest CHC or PHC

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 Kms | 1420 | 41.1 | 41.8 | 41.8 |
| $5.1-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 993 | 28.8 | 29.2 | 71.1 |
| $10.1-15 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 612 | 17.7 | 18.0 | 89.1 |
| $15.1-20 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 151 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 93.5 |
| $20.1-25 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 111 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 96.8 |
| $25.1-30 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 31 | .9 | .9 | 97.7 |
| $30.1-40 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 4 | .1 | .1 | 97.8 |
| Above 40 Kms | 73 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3395 | 98.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 58 | 1.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $41.8 \%$ respondents has CHC or PHC within $5 \mathrm{Kms}, 29.2 \%$ respondents between $5-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ and $18.0 \%$ respondents between $10-15 \mathrm{Kms}$.

Health Infrastructure: Nearest Dispensary

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 Kms | 1745 | 50.5 | 53.7 | 53.7 |
| $5.1-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 1082 | 31.3 | 33.3 | 87.0 |
| $10.1-15 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 245 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 94.6 |
| $15.1-20 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 107 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 97.8 |
| $20.1-25 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 56 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 99.6 |
| $25.1-30 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 10 | .3 | .3 | 99.9 |
| $30.1-40 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 2 | .1 | .1 | 99.9 |
| Above 40 Kms | 2 | .1 | .1 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3249 | 94.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 204 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $53.7 \%$ respondents has dispensary within $5 \mathrm{Kms}, 33.3 \%$ respondents between $5-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ and $7.5 \%$ respondents between $10-15 \mathrm{Kms}$.

Health Infrastructure: Nearest Hospital in Case of Serious Diseases

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 Kms | 752 | 21.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 |
| $5.1-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 777 | 22.5 | 23.6 | 46.4 |
| $10.1-15 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 683 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 67.2 |
| $15.1-20 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 301 | 8.7 | 9.1 | 76.3 |
| $20.1-25 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 278 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 84.8 |
| $25.1-30 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 229 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 91.7 |
| $30.1-40 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 57 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 93.4 |
| Above 40 Kms | 216 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3293 | 95.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 160 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $22.8 \%$ respondents has nearest hospital in case of serious diseases within 5 Kms, $23.6 \%$ respondents between $5-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ and $20.7 \%$ respondents between $10-15 \mathrm{Kms}$.

Health Infrastructure: Nearest Ambulance Service

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 Kms | 1122 | 32.5 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| $5.1-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 1056 | 30.6 | 32.7 | 67.4 |
| $10.1-15 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 623 | 18.0 | 19.3 | 86.7 |
| $15.1-20 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 179 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 92.2 |
| $20.1-25 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 140 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 96.5 |
| $25.1-30 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 78 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 98.9 |
| $30.1-40 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 18 | .5 | .6 | 99.5 |
| Above 40 Kms | 16 | .5 | .5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3232 | 93.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 221 | 6.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $34.7 \%$ respondents has nearest ambulance service within 5 Kms, $32.7 \%$ respondents between $5-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ and $19.3 \%$ respondents between $10-15 \mathrm{Kms}$.

Health Infrastructure: Nearest Bus Service

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 Kms | 2103 | 60.9 | 63.9 | 63.9 |
| $5.1-10 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 761 | 22.0 | 23.1 | 87.0 |
| $10.1-15 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 218 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 93.6 |
| $15.1-20 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 63 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 95.5 |
| $20.1-25 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 82 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 98.0 |
| $25.1-30 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 55 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 99.7 |
| $30.1-40 \mathrm{Kms}$ | 5 | .1 | .2 | 99.8 |
| Above 40 Kms | 5 | .1 | .2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3292 | 95.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 161 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $63.9 \%$ respondents has nearest buss servie within $5 \mathrm{Kms}, 23.1 \%$ respondents between 5-10 Kms and $6.6 \%$ respondents between 10-15 Kms.

Health Service: Local Kobiraj

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 78 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Good | 1254 | 36.3 | 37.2 | 39.5 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 1000 | 29.0 | 29.6 | 69.1 |
| Poor | 405 | 11.7 | 12.0 | 81.1 |
| Very poor | 344 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 91.3 |
| Dk/NA | 292 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3373 | 97.7 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 80 | 2.3 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $37.2 \%$ respondents rate local kobiraj service as good, $29.6 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate while $12.0 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: ASHA Workers

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 131 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Good | 1361 | 39.4 | 40.0 | 43.8 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 1071 | 31.0 | 31.5 | 75.3 |
| Poor | 608 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 93.2 |
| Very poor | 114 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 96.5 |
| Dk/NA | 119 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3404 | 98.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 49 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $40.0 \%$ respondents rate ASHA workers service as good, $31.5 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate while 17.9\% respondents as poor.

Health Service: CHC/Rural Hospital

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 82 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Good | 1422 | 41.2 | 43.2 | 45.7 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 1090 | 31.6 | 33.1 | 78.9 |
| Poor | 451 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 92.6 |
| Very poor | 96 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 95.5 |
| Dk/NA | 148 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3289 | 95.3 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 164 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $4302 \%$ respondents rate CHC or rural hospital service as good, $33.1 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate while $13.7 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: PHC

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 78 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Good | 1482 | 42.9 | 44.7 | 47.0 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 1067 | 30.9 | 32.2 | 79.2 |
| Poor | 305 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 88.4 |
| Very poor | 258 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 96.1 |
| Dk/NA | 128 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3318 | 96.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 135 | 3.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $44.7 \%$ respondents rate PHC service as good, $32.2 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate while $9.2 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: Sub - Centre

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 75 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Good | 1328 | 38.5 | 41.8 | 44.1 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 873 | 25.3 | 27.5 | 71.6 |
| Poor | 535 | 15.5 | 16.8 | 88.4 |
| Very poor | 170 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 93.7 |
| Dk/NA | 199 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3180 | 92.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 273 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $41.8 \%$ respondents rate Sub centre service as good, $27.5 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate while $16.8 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: Govt. Hospitals

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 294 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Good | 1415 | 41.0 | 43.4 | 52.4 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 1111 | 32.2 | 34.1 | 86.5 |
| Poor | 272 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 94.8 |
| Very poor | 64 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 96.8 |
| Dk/NA | 104 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3260 | 94.4 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 193 | 5.6 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $43.4 \%$ respondents rate government hospital service as good, $34.1 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate, $9.0 \%$ respondents as excellent while $8.3 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: Private Hospitals

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 381 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 11.8 |
| Good | 1340 | 38.8 | 41.3 | 53.1 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 750 | 21.7 | 23.1 | 76.2 |
| Poor | 329 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 86.4 |
| Very poor | 96 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 89.4 |
| Dk/NA | 345 | 10.0 | 10.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3241 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 212 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, 41.3 respondents rate private hospital service as good, $23.1 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate, $11.8 \%$ respondents as excellent while $9.5 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: Private Doctors

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 383 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 11.8 |
| Good | 1650 | 47.8 | 50.8 | 62.6 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 637 | 18.4 | 19.6 | 82.2 |
| Poor | 334 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 92.5 |
| Very poor | 64 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 94.4 |
| Dk/NA | 181 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3249 | 94.1 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 204 | 5.9 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $50.8 \%$ respondents rate private doctor service as good, $19.6 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate, $11.8 \%$ respondents as excellent while $10.3 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: Dispensaries

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 60 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Good | 1474 | 42.7 | 46.5 | 48.4 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 925 | 26.8 | 29.2 | 77.6 |
| Poor | 498 | 14.4 | 15.7 | 93.3 |
| Very poor | 77 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 95.7 |
| Dk/NA | 136 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3170 | 91.8 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 283 | 8.2 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $46.5 \%$ respondents rate dispensaries service as good, $29.2 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate while $15.7 \%$ respondents as poor.

Health Service: Pharmacies

|  | No.of Respondent | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 165 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Good | 1783 | 51.6 | 55.2 | 60.3 |
| Satisfactory/Moderate | 865 | 25.1 | 26.8 | 87.0 |
| Poor | 255 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 94.9 |
| Very poor | 112 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 98.4 |
| Dk/NA | 52 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 3232 | 93.6 | 100.0 |  |
| No Respond | 221 | 6.4 |  |  |
| Total | 3453 | 100.0 |  |  |

Out of the 3453 total respondents, $55.2 \%$ respondents rate pharmacies service as good, $26.8 \%$ respondents as satisfactory or moderate while $7.9 \%$ respondents as poor.

## Main Findings

The following are the main findings obtained from the survey data collected from the respondents.

## Household Details

1. The respondents consist of $67 \%$ belonging to SHG and $33 \%$ non SHG. The $68.8 \%$ respondents are in SHG for a period of 2 to 8 years. The $64.4 \%$ respondents have positive change after becoming SHG member in terms of access to credit and loan, improved confidence and improved income.
2. The $87.4 \%$ respondents have men as head of the family while $12.6 \%$ respondents have women as head of the family.
3. Female population ( $33.16 \%$ respondents) is more illiterate than men ( $23.2 \%$ respondents). The $27.60 \%$ respondents have male adult family members and $26.35 \%$ respondents have female adult family members who passed matriculation.

04 . The $84.5 \%$ respondents are nuclear family while $15.5 \%$ respondents live in joined family. The $51.7 \%$ respondents have men as main breadwinner of the family while $39.2 \%$ respondents have both men and women as breadwinner.
05. Farming is the main occupation of the respondents. The $54.24 \%$ respondents and $30.06 \%$ respondents have male farmer and female farmer respectively in the family.

## Agriculture

6. The $66.2 \%$ respondents have their own agricultural land where only paddy crop is grown and the $76.17 \%$ respondents have land for agricultural purposes. The $53.3 \%$ respondents have cultivable land area less than 2 acres. Land taken or given for sharecropping and land under mortgage is very less in practice among respondents.
7. The $64.4 \%$ respondents use river and perennial stream water for irrigation. The $41.8 \%$ respondents have irrigated the agricultural land area less than 2 acres.
8. Only $12.8 \%$ respondents cultivate cash or field crops like tea, rubber, broomsticks, jute etc. The $42.1 \%$ respondents cultivate fruit crops or trees like banana, orange, pineapple, areca nut, coconut etc.
09 . Cultivation of only one crop in a season is practice mostly. The $40.8 \%$ respondents grow one crop in one season. The $48.2 \%$ respondents have less than 12 quintals paddy yield per acre. Non availability if sufficient water, use of low yielding seed, widespread of insects and diseases etc are some of the major factor that results in low agricultural product.
9. Only $11.6 \%$ respondents practice jhum cultivation.
10. The $52.3 \%$ respondents still practice the traditional method of cultivation and $28.5 \%$ respondents grows seasonal crops like mustard, potato, chillie, ginger, brinjal etc. Only $17.1 \%$ respondents have access to agricultural extension services.

## Livestock Rearing

12. The $83.1 \%$ respondents have rear livestock like cow, buffalo, pig, goat, fowl etc either for income generation activity or for domestic use.
13. The $43.5 \%$ respondents have access to veterinary services mainly from government or line departments. During the time of livestock sickness $43.2 \%$ respondents treat by themselves while $24.7 \%$ respondents take to veterinary doctors.
14. The fodder for the livestock is available around the year for $57.7 \%$ respondents. The livestock feed is mostly cultivated or available at home.

## Fishery

15. Assam has ample river and Meghalaya is high terrain area which make it difficult for fresh pond, only $9.8 \%$ respondents have fresh pond. The $91.2 \%$ respondents have total water area of less than 2 bighas.
16. The $76.4 \%$ respondents utilized the fresh pond for fish farming with average fish production of 125 Kg per annum. The $59.4 \%$ respondents go to river for fishing.

## Skill Details

17. The $71.2 \%$ respondents have family members possessing skills like carpentry, weaving, tailoring, handicraft, knitting, food processing, vegetable cultivation, livestock rearing etc.
18. More than $70 \%$ respondents utilized the skill of food processing and mansoning for productive purposes. Most of skills are traditionally learnt as part of culture and few from training.
19. Many respondents do not utilized their skill for productive purposes as they are engage in some activities like domestic work, other business activities, low investment capacity etc.

## Income Generation Activity (IGA) and Micro-Enterprise

20. The $45.2 \%$ respondents practiced income generation activity. The $40.5 \%$ respondents reared livestock and $27.2 \%$ respondents practice agriculture for IGA. The $79.2 \%$ respondents profited the IGA.
21. To start the IGA, $55.27 \%$ respondents used their own saving while $33.48 \%$ respondents took loan from the SHG.
22. Micro-Enterprise is larger volume of IGA with higher capital investment and capable handling of the business. Only $4.1 \%$ respondents owned micro-enterprise as the rural population is generally poor with less capacity to handle big business.
23. The $58.8 \%$ respondents did not undergo any training before the start of business while $31.9 \%$ respondents had done IGA management. The need for training for before the onset business or further training is felt by $67.1 \%$ respondents.

## Saving and Credit

24. The $67.0 \%$ respondents belong to SHG member. Saving of money is practice by $91.6 \%$ respondents for the purpose like children education, sickness treatment, house repair or construction, property purchase etc. Few do not save money mostly because of no surplus and no habit of saving.
25. Saving of money is done at SHG ( $42.49 \%$ respondents), bank or post office or insurance ( $31.95 \%$ respondents) and home ( $17.52 \%$ respondents). Mostly monthly saving is in practice by $68.12 \%$ respondents through proper planning and surplus after expenses. The total family saving per annum at present is less than Rs. 10000/- ( $65.6 \%$ respondents).
26. Borrowing money is done by $68.0 \%$ respondents at least once a year from bank, SHG, relatives etc for treating sickness, child education, agriculture etc.
27. Borrowing of food grain is practice by $21.9 \%$ respondents. The quantity of food grain borrowed in a year is less than 2 quintals for $70.9 \%$ respondents.

## Gender Details

28. It is found that both men and women keeps ( $41.8 \%$ respondents) and controls ( $41.1 \%$ respondents) the family income. Women have freedom to control over their own earning and saving is found among $84.6 \%$ respondents.
29. Majority of the respondents has husband membership in VDC ( $33.50 \%$ respondents), village dorbar or panchayat ( $29.68 \%$ respondents) and local club ( $11.32 \%$ respondents). The $75.95 \%$ respondents have wife membership in mahila samity.
30. It is fond that $61.7 \%$ respondents have women participation in village meeting.
31. In cases of decision making in home management issues men are the decision maker and women participate as discussant.

## Migration

32. Only $7.6 \%$ respondents have the family member migrate to other place. The migrated family members mostly belong to the age group of 18 to 35 years.
33. The main purpose of migration is for better job, industrial regular labour, better earning, marriage etc. The $64.0 \%$ respondents make saving which result in improved quality of life, construction of house, better child education, purchase of household assets etc.

## Disaster Risk Reduction

34. It is found that $13.0 \%$ respondents have experience flood. The $18.5 \%$ respondents who experience flood grow flood resistant crop variety.
35. In last 3 years $30.4 \%$ respondents had change their cropping system. Awareness to agricultural crop and
livestock insurance is almost negligible.
36. The $29.3 \%$ respondents are aware of the safety measures skills for flood, earthquake etc and $65.5 \%$ respondents are aware on health and hygiene including ORS.
37. Out the total respondents who experienced hazards, the highest number of respondents has experience flood ( $94.42 \%$ respondents), storm ( $57.14 \%$ respondents) and drought ( $51.56 \%$ respondents). The copping mechanic of the family member is swimming ( $31.7 \%$ respondents) and elevated platform for shelter ( $24.0 \%$ respondents). Only $24.0 \%$ respondents have excess to information before, during and after the hazards.
38. The $42.3 \%$ respondents store food and $40.1 \%$ respondents store fuel for emergency period.
39. The $53.5 \%$ respondents have subsidiary occupation income through NREGA or any other government supported programmes.

## Community Health

40. The Most common type of houses found in the village is wooden house with tin roof ( $30.8 \%$ respondents), mud house with tin roof ( $29.5 \%$ respondents) and thatched house with mud wall ( $20.9 \%$ respondents). The $54.8 \%$ respondents have livable house while $40.7 \%$ respondents have good condition house.
41. Electricity ( $51.0 \%$ respondents) and kerosene ( $45.5 \%$ respondents) are the main source of lighting. It is found that $50.7 \%$ respondents have no drainage system. The $56.9 \%$ respondents have PDS Card or Ration Card.
42. Drinking water is obtained mainly from open well ( $46.5 \%$ respondents) and hand pump or tube or bore tube ( $22.06 \%$ respondents). The $75.6 \%$ respondents have their source of drinking water within homestead.
43. The $38.8 \%$ respondents do not purified the water before drinking while $30.9 \%$ respondents boil the water and $21.6 \%$ respondents filter the drinking water.

## Nutrition

44. Taking two ( $48.1 \%$ respondents) and three ( $43.4 \%$ respondents) fill meals a day is common among people.
45. In last three years only $27.5 \%$ respondents experience food shortage. The food shortage was for less than 4 months a year ( $66.9 \%$ respondents).

## Child Immunization Details

46. Out of the respondents having children below 5 years, $48.42 \%$ respondents had completed giving the 3 dozes of polio, $46.39 \%$ respondents had given 3 dozes of DPT, $51.69 \%$ respondents had given BCG and $46.25 \%$ respondents had given measles injection.
47. The $38.49 \%$ respondents had children attending to ICDS.

## Care during Pregnancy

48. Antenatal check up during pregnancy is not done by $15.0 \%$ respondents while $34.3 \%$ respondents visited hospital and $30.4 \%$ respondents visited PHC or CHC for the check up. Majority of pregnant women (64.6\%
respondents) completed the two dozes of TT.
49. The $43.4 \%$ respondents had delivery at hospital or PHC or CHC while still $55.9 \%$ respondents had at home. Delivery is conducted by doctors, nurse, trained birth attendant ( $68.9 \%$ respondents) and by untrained birth attendant ( $30.9 \%$ respondents).

## Diseases and their Treatment

50. Diarrhea, malaria, flu and gastric are the most common diseases suffer by the respondents over the past one year.
51. Hospital, pharmacy and private doctor are most refer for the treatment of diarrhea, malaria, rickets, flu, gastric, eye inflammation, pneumonia, skin diseases etc. Kobiraj is most preferred for jaundice treatment and hospital for TB treatment by the respondents.
52. During the past one year, $33.2 \%$ respondents have full recovery from malaria while $33.2 \%$ respondents have not fully recovered.
53. The knowledge on rickets, causes, symptoms, effects etc is lacking among $54.8 \%$ respondents and $82.3 \%$ respondents are not aware of DOTs Programme.
54. The respondents with men and women related sickness symptoms are almost everyone seek for treatment and are treated at various health centre like government hospital, PHC or CHC, government dispensary, sub centre, private hospital or doctors etc.
55. Majority of the respondents reside at the circumference distance of 10 Km in and around the available health centre.
56. The respondents rated the quality of various health services as good and satisfactory.

## Limitations

1. Some questions related to women and men sickness symptoms were difficult for surveyor to discuss freely in certain part of the survey area. This is because variety of people belonging to different community of caste, religion etc.
2. Some of the survey question section may not be relevant to certain part of the area as both states of Assam and Meghalaya was covered. Most part of Assam and Meghalaya has different geographic physical features.
3. The survey module has many questions which make it very exhaustive for the surveyor and respondent during the interaction. However survey was conducted fruitfully.
